		Max* Category Points	Max* Subcategory Points	Max or Min Criteria Points	Description
Category	Safety	40			
Subcategory	Safety Treatments		24		Does a project achieve safety goals as a function of LTS and injury minimization speeds?
Notes	Project Effectiveness (both and pedestrians and bicyclists)				Considers if the existing conditions and proposed improvements for pedestrians and bicyclists provide low stress linear connections and crossings. Captures the ability of the project to provide or extend low LTS facilities and manage speed.
Criteria	Along a project corridor, do the proposed treatments achieve LTS 2 or better?			12	Considers if existing or proposed linear features meet or mitigate LTS 2 or better for both pedestrians (6 points) and bicyclists (6 points).
Criteria	At project intersections or crossings, do the proposed treatments achieve safety and comfort characteristics?			12	Considers if intersection and crossing treatments address safety and comfort characteristics (conspicuity, operating speed, exposure, predictability, separation in space, separation in time) for both pedestrians (6 points) and bicyclists (6 points).
Criteria	If there is evidence of speeding or the project proposes speed reductions, are there speed management treatments to support the desired speeds?			-10	Point reduction if there is evidence of speeding or the project aims to reduce the posted speed limit, and speed management treatments are not proposed.
Subcategory	Safety Need		16		Are there safety concerns at the project location?
Criteria	Is the existing active transportation route LTS 3 or 4?			16	Considers if the location has characteristics indicating it is a candidate for proactive systemic safety improvements. LTS is based on <u>WSDOT Design Manual</u> LTS tables in Sections 1510.02(5)(a) and 1520.03(3)(a).
Criteria	Is there a history of crashes at this location?			16	the past 5 years on a scale with a maximum score of 16 points.
Criteria	Does operating speed exceed posted speed in the project location?			10	Considers if there is documented speeding in a project location (speed study, officer report, agency collection of community reports, other). Higher speeds increase crash severity and pedestrian and bicyclist discomfort.
Criteria	Do you plan to lower the posted speed? Have you completed any necessary policy changes to reduce the speed? Have you begun any necessary policy changes to reduce the speed?			6	Considers how a project aims to set or work towards <u>injury minimization speed</u> <u>limits</u> . To score these points, agencies must have begun the necessary policy changes to reduce the speed.
Criteria	Is the project in Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP), Safe Streets for All Action Plan (SS4A), or other safety plan? Provide link to or attach safety plan.			6	Considers if an agency has identified this project through public outreach and analysis of its roadways for safety.
Category	Equity ²	25			
Subcategory	Highest Equity Need Census Tract Where the Pr Located	oject is	20		Points will be assigned based on the highest of the following:
Criteria	Sandy Williams Connecting Communities Equity Score			20	Up to 20 points will be assigned to projects based on a ranking of the Sandy Williams Connecting Communities Equity score.
Criteria	OSPI Ranking (SRTS Only)			20	Up to 20 points will be assigned to projects based on a ranking of the Office of Superintendent of Public instruction School Report Card data.
Criteria	Tribal project or lands			20	20 Points will be assigned to projects on tribal lands or identified by tribal partners as having a tribal interest. 20 points if yes, 0 points if no.
Subcategory	Community Engagement		5		
Criteria	Has there been an opportunity for community engagement with vulnerable populations? Provide link or attach documentation exhibiting outreach.			5	Considers if a project has support of vulnerable populations. Projects supported by a Tribal resolution have completed this engagement.
Category	Mobility with Considerations for Equity	10			
Criteria	Network Connectivity			5	Considers if the project supports broad connectivity for people walking and biking or connects at least two destination points (schools and transit connections included). Include considerations for connectivity to vulnerable populations.
Criteria	Destination Access			5	Considers how many destinations a project can reach. Communities under 10k population will be evaluated separately from those above. Include considerations for destinations related to vulnerable populations.
Category	Deliverability	10			
Criteria	Quality of Budget			5	Considers the completeness and cost accuracy of the project budget based on the project details provided.
Criteria	Project History			-5 to 0	Considers the local agency's history with project delivery as recorded by WSDOT Local Programs Office.
Criteria	Is the project in a Local or Transportation Plan (not safety specific)?			5	Considers if an agency has identified this project through public outreach and community-wide planning.
Criteria	Do you have an ADA Transition Plan? Provide link to or attach ADA Transition plan.			1	Considers if an agency has complied with the Americans with Disabilities Act to plan for eliminating accessibility barriers.
Criteria	Do you have a Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Plan? Provide link to or attach plan.			2	Considers if an agency has identified strategies to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions.
Category Criteria	What is the funding request proportional to	10	10		Considers the requested funding proportional to the population served by the project. Projects will be evaluated in separate pools for smaller and larger
	the population?				communities.
Category Criteria	How many SRTS and PBP projects per capita have been awarded to the project's jurisdiction?	5	5		Considers the number of projects that have previously been awarded within a geographic area to the local agencies. More points are provided to local agencies who have been awarded fewer projects per capita to increase the geographic
	Total	100			diversity of project awards.
-	t exceed the total max category and subcategory p		if an applied		and the section of th

¹ Scores cannot exceed the total max category and subcategory points even if an application meets or exceeds the criteria points.

² Minimum 35% (goal 40%) of project funding must go to projects with <u>Environmental Health Disparities</u> scores of 7, 8, 9, or 10. Projects are ranked independently of the EHD score and then top-ranking projects may be swapped for lower ranked projects as needed to reach EHD requirement while continuing to maintain project rankings to the extent possible.

Summary Table for the Webpage

Category	Max Category Points	Subcategory	Max Subcategory Points
Cofety	40	Safety Treatments	24
Safety	40	Safety Need	16
Facility	25	Highest Equity Need Census Tract	20
Equity	25	Community Engagement	5
Mobility with Considerations for Equity	10		
Deliverability	10		
Value	10		
Geographic Diversity	5		
Total	100		