

# Local Road Safety Plans Virtual Workshop







Washington State Strategic Highway Safety Plan 2019

Zero Deaths and Zero Serious Injuries by 2030

### Matthew Enders, P.E.

Technical Services Manager, WSDOT Local Programs

### February 8, 2023 Virtual Workshop

**Roger Millar** Secretary of Transportation



### Agenda

- 2023 County Safety Program Basics
- Safety Trends
- Local Road Safety Plans (7 steps)
- Resources
- Federal Safety Action Plans
- LRSP Step 1<sup>+</sup> Exercise

#### Local Road Safety Plan

A data-driven, risk-based analysis and prioritization of an agency's roadways.





# 2023 County Safety Program

- Key Dates
  - Call for projects opened 11/16/22
  - Applications due 3/15/23
  - Funding to be awarded summer 2023
  - 100% funding for all phases authorized prior to 4/30/26
- Estimated Funds: \$35 million in federal Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds and \$4 million in state Reducing Rural Roadway Departures funds
- Call for Projects

https://wsdot.wa.gov/business-wsdot/support-localprograms/funding-programs/highway-safety-improvementprogram/highway-safety-improvement-program-call-projects







# Safety Trends



#### 🕏 WSDOT

# Local Road Safety Plans

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection
3	Select most common risk factors	process
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Prioritized list of roadway locations
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations	,, ,, ,, ,, ,
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects



# **LRSP Step 1**

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection
3	Select most common risk factors	process
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Prioritized list of roadway locations
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations	Thomas of roddway locations
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects



### **Step 1: Analyze Summary Data to Identify Focus/Priorities**

2017-2021 County	Fatal/Serious Injury Crashes Only																	
2017-2021 County	All R	Roads All Co East Co		(	County X													
X Data	2017- 2021	%	2017- 2021	%	2017- 2021	%	2017- 2021	%	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	<b>201</b> 6	2015	2014	2013	2012
Overall Numbers																		
Total # of Collisions	13,051		2,928		810		198		40	44	34	32	48	32	35	36	41	37
By Collision Type																		
Hit Fixed Object	3,636	27.9%	1,254	42.8%	356	44.0%	61	30.8%	17	10	8	10	16	13	15	14	11	15
Angle (T)	1,569	12.0%	339	11.6%	100	12.3%	43	21.7%	7	10	9	7	10	3	3	4	9	5
Overturn	858	6.6%	259	8.8%	126	15.6%	25	12.6%	3	10	4	3	5	8	4	10	8	4
Hit Pedestrian	2,198	16.8%	241	8.2%	42	5.2%	16	8.1%	3	3	2	3	5	2	0	2	2	1
By Junction Relationship																		
Non-Intersection (Not Related)	7,435	57.0%	1,960	66.9%	583	72.0%	120	60.6%	26	27	18	20	29	22	26	24	25	29
Intersection-Related	4,177	32.0%	659	22.5%	160	19.8%	59	29.8%	11	12	11	10	15	4	6	10	9	4
By Functional Class	_					_												_
Rural Major Collector	1,266	15.3%	946	32.3%	389	48.0%	115	58.1%	24	26	16	20	29	16	19	16	20	22
Rural Local Access	459	5.6%	459	15.7%	181	22.3%	38	19.2%	3	18	8	5	4	5	4	7	7	3
Rural Minor Collector	255	3.1%	254	8.7%	104	12.8%	32	16.2%	7	0	3	7	15	7	6	10	12	ММ



# **LRSP Step 2**

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection
3	Select most common risk factors	process
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Prioritized list of roadway locations
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations	
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects



# **Step 2: Analyze Individual Fatal/Serious Crashes to Identify Risk Factors**



### Lane Departure

- Crash 1
- Crash 2
- Crash 3
- Crash 4
- Crash 61, etc.

### **Risk Factors**



oads for a Safer Future

<section-header>

U.S. Department of Transportation

### devicesHorizontal curve density

 Horizontal curve and tangent speed differential

Shoulder surface width and type

 Horizontal curvature, superelevation, delineation, or advance warning

**Roadway and Intersection Features** 

- Presence of a visual trap at a curve or combinations of vertical grade and horizontal curvature
- Roadway gradient

Number of lanes

Median width and type

Lane width

- Pavement condition and friction
- Roadside or edge hazard rating (potentially including sideslope design)
- Driveway presence, design, and density
- Presence of shoulder or centerline rumble strips
- Presence of lighting
- Presence of on-street parking
- Intersection skew angle
- Intersection traffic control device
- Number of signal heads vs. number of lanes

- Presence of backplates
- Presence of advanced warning signs
- Intersection located in or near horizontal curve
- Presence of left-turn or right-turn lanes
- Left-turn phasing
- Allowance of right-turn-on-red
- Overhead versus pedestal-mounted signal heads
- Pedestrian crosswalk presence, crossing distance, signal head type

#### Traffic Volume

- Average daily traffic volumes
- Average daily entering vehicles
- Proportion of commercial vehicles in traffic stream

#### **Other Features**

- Posted speed limit or operating speed
- Presence of nearby railroad crossing
- Presence of automated enforcement
- Adjacent land use type (e.g., schools, commercial, or alcohol-sales establishments)
- Location and presence of bus stops



### Checklist

Sample Risk Factor Checklist Specific Values					Ranges				
Roadway									
Number of Lanes	# Lanes				2-Lane	3+ Lanes			
Lane Width	Lane Width				< 10 Feet	10-12 Feet	12+ Feet		
Roadway Gradient	Grade %				Level	Hilly	Mountainous		
Pavement Condition & Friction	Condition	Good/Fair/Poor	Friction Value		Low Friction	Avg Friction			
Posted Speed Limit or Operating Speed	Posted Speed		Operating Speed		< 40 mph	40-45 mph	50+ mph		
Functional Classification	FC				Arterial	Collector	Local		
Roadway Surface Type	Туре				Asphalt	BST	Gravel/Dirt		
Recovery Area									
Shoulder Width	Width				0-2 Feet	2-4 Feet	4+ Feet		
Shoulder Surface Type	Туре								
Roadside or Edge Hazard Rating	Rating				Good	ОК	Not So Good		
Embankment Slope & Height	Slope		Height		1:1 to 1:3	1:3 to 1:5	1:5+		
Presence of Safety Edge	Safety Edge	Yes / No							
Horizontal Curves									
Horizontal Curvature & Radius	Curve	Yes / No			< 500 Feet	500-1000 Feet	1000+ Feet		
Superelevation	Super	Yes / No	Appropriate	Yes / No					
Horizontal Curve Presence of Delination or	Dell'estation		A.I						
Advance Warning Devices	Delineation	Yes / NO	Advance warning	Yes / NO					
Horizontal Curve Density	#/Mile								
Horizontal Curve & Tangent Speed Differential	MPH Difference				5 mph	10 mph	15+ mph		
Presence of a Visual Trap at a Curve or									
Combinations of Vertical Grade & Horizontal	Visual Trap	Yes / No	Vertical Grade	Yes / No					
Curvature									
Key Features									
Driveway Presence & Density	Driveway	Yes / No	Density		1-5 / Mile	6-10 / Mile	10+ / Mile		
Presence of Shoulder or Centerline Rumble Strips	Shoulder RS	Yes / No	Centerline RS	Yes / No					
Presence of Lighting	Lighting	Yes / No							
Presence of Pedestrians or Bicycles	Peds	Yes / No	Bikes	Yes / No	Low Volume	Mid Volume	High Volume		
Presence of Nearby Railroad Crossing	RR Xing	Yes / No							
Intersection Details									
Interraction Traffic Control Device	Traffic Control				2-Way Stop	4-Way Stop			
					Roundabout	Traffic Signal			
Intersection Skew Angle	Skew	Yes / No	Angle						
Presence of Advanced Warning Signs	Warning	Yes / No							
Intersection Located in or Near Horizontal Curve	Curve	Yes / No							
Presence of Left- or Right-Turn Lanes	Left Turn Lanes	Yes / No	Right Turn Lanes	Yes / No					
Crash & Traffic Data									
Number of Previous Crashes	# Crashes				0 Crashes	1-4 Crashes	5+ Crashes		
Severity of Previous Crashes	Fatal/Serious	Yes / No			Fatal	Serious Injury	Minor Injury		
Average Daily Traffic Volumes	Volume				< 250	250-1000	1000+		
Percentage of Trucks	Truck %				Low Truck	Mid Truck	High Truck		



### **Risk Factors Used (# Counties in 2021)**











MM













MM

# **LRSP Step 3**

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection
3	Select most common risk factors	process
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Prioritized list of roadway locations
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations	Thomas of roddway roddions
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects



# **Step 3: Select Most Common Risk Factors**

### Lane Departure

- Roadside Environment
- Shoulder Width/Type
- Posted Speed
- Traffic Volume
- Horizontal Curves

### Intersection

- Traffic Control Type
- Traffic Volume
- Illumination
- Turn Lanes
- Signing

### **Qualitative Data**

- Use the data that you have
- Use qualitative ratings when needed
  - Good, Fair, Not-So-Good (curve radius, roadside, etc.)
  - Number per segment, mile, roadway (curves, driveways, intersections, etc.)
  - High, Medium, Low (traffic volumes, pedestrian volumes, crash frequency, etc.)
- It is important to include the risk factors that are key to your roadway network



18

**Roadway Departure** 

- Roadside Environment
- Shoulder Width/Type
- Posted Speed
- Traffic Volume
- Horizontal Curves

# **LRSP Step 4**

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element			
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data			
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection			
3	Select most common risk factors	process			
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Prioritized list of roadway locations			
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations				
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process			
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects			



### **Step 4: Analyze Roadway Network for Presence of Risk Factors**





# **Segmenting Your Network**

- Curve by curve
- Mile by mile
- Road by road

- Short segments are more uniform
- Long segments use more average values



shutterstock.com



# **LRSP Step 5**

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection
3	Select most common risk factors	process
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Driaritized list of reading values tions
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations	Phontized list of roadway locations
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects



# **Step 5: Create Prioritized List of Roadway Locations**



- 1. Road X, MP 0.00-1.00, 5 risk factors
- 2. Road Y, MP 4.53-6.00, 5 risk factors
- 3. Road Z, MP 18.10-18.89, 5 risk factors
- 4. Road A, MP 3.33-4.40, 4 risk factors
- 5. Road B, MP 2.01-3.00, 4 risk factors
- 6. Road C, MP 7.65-8.89, 4 risk factors
- 7. Road Y, MP 6.01-6.76, 4 risk factors
- 8. Road A, MP 14.21-15.66, 4 risk factors
- 9. Road D, MP 0.00-1.21, 4 risk factors
- 10. Road E, MP 12.02-15.98, 4 risk factors
- 11. Road Z, MP 17.01-18.09, 4 risk factors
- 12. Road F, MP 3.63-4.44, 4 risk factors
- 13. Road Z, MP 1.70-3.00, 4 risk factors
- 14. Road G, MP 6.00-6.99, 3 risk factors
- 15. Etc.

### **Number of Risk Factors**



Add more risk factors!



# **LRSP Step 6**

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection
3	Select most common risk factors	process
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Prioritized list of roadway locations
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations	Thomazed list of roddway locations
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects











# LRSP Step 7

	Local Road Safety Plan Step	Plan Element
1	Analyze data to identify focus/priorities	List of crash priorities based on data
2	Analyze individual fatal/serious crashes to identify risk factors	Description of risk factors & selection
3	Select most common risk factors	process
4	Analyze roadway network for presence of risk factors	Prioritized list of roadway locations
5	Create a prioritized list of roadway locations	r normized list of rodd way locations
6	Identify countermeasures to address prioritized locations	Descripton of countermeasures & selection process
7	Develop a prioritized list of projects	Prioritized list of projects



# Step 7: Develop a Prioritized List of Projects





### Resources

- Systemic Safety Project Selection Tool
  - https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/systemic/fhwasa13019/
- Target Zero
  - http://www.targetzero.com/
  - Lane Departure (page 98)
  - Intersections (pages 107-108)
  - Pedestrians & Bicycles (pages 137-139)
- CMF Clearinghouse
  - http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org/
- FHWA Systemic Safety website
  - <u>http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/systemic/</u>
- FHWA LRSP DIY website
  - <u>https://highways.dot.gov/safety/local-rural/local-road-safety-plans</u>

Washington State Strategic Highway Safety Plan 2019

Zero Deaths and Zero Serious Injuries by 2030



### Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A)





MM

# Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A)

An applicant is eligible to apply for an Action Plan Grant that funds supplemental action plan activities, or an Implementation Grant, only if the following two conditions are met:

- Answer "yes" to Questions 3 7 9
- Answer "yes" to at least four of the six remaining Questions 1 2 4 5 6 8

If both conditions are *not met*, an applicant is still eligible to apply for an Action Plan Grant that funds creation of a new action plan.



### **SS4A – Must Meet All 3**

#### 3 Does the Action Plan include all of the following?

- Analysis of existing conditions and historical trends to baseline the level of crashes involving fatalities and serious injuries across a jurisdiction, locality, Tribe, or region;
- Analysis of the location where there are crashes, the severity, as well as contributing factors and crash types;
- Analysis of systemic and specific safety needs is also performed, as needed (e.g., high risk road features, specific safety needs of relevant road users; and,
- A geospatial identification (geographic or locational data using maps) of higher risk locations.
- Does the plan identify a comprehensive set of projects and strategies to address the safety problems in the Action Plan, time ranges when projects and strategies will be deployed, and explain project prioritization criteria?

9

Was the plan finalized and/or last updated between 2017 and 2022?



### SS4A – Must Meet 4 of 6

#### Are both of the following true?

- Did a high-ranking official and/or governing body in the jurisdiction publicly commit to an eventual goal of zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries?
- Did the commitment include either setting a target date to reach zero, OR setting one or more targets to achieve significant declines in roadway fatalities and serious injuries by a specific date?
- 2 To develop the Action Plan, was a committee, task force, implementation group, or similar body established and charged with the plan's development, implementation, and monitoring?



Did the Action Plan development include all of the following activities?

- Engagement with the public and relevant stakeholders, including the private sector and community groups;
- Incorporation of information received from the engagement and collaboration into the plan; and
- Coordination that included inter- and intra-governmental cooperation and collaboration, as appropriate.



### SS4A – Must Meet 4 of 6

#### 5 Did the Action Plan development include all of the following?

- · Considerations of equity using inclusive and representative processes;
- · The identification of underserved communities through data; and
- Equity analysis, in collaboration with appropriate partners, focused on initial equity impact assessments of the proposed projects and strategies, and population characteristics.

#### Are both of the following true?

- The plan development included an assessment of current policies, plans, guidelines, and/or standards to identify opportunities to improve how processes prioritize safety; and
- The plan discusses implementation through the adoption of revised or new policies, guidelines, and/or standards.

#### B) Does the plan include all of the following?

- A description of how progress will be measured over time that includes, at a minimum, outcome data.
- The plan is posted publicly online.



#### Washington

Lead Applicant	Project Title	Type of Plan	Urban/ Rural	Funding Award	Lead Applicant	Project Title	Type of Plan	Urban/ Rural	Funding Award	
City of Ellensburg	Action Plan for the City of Ellensburg and Surrounding Urban	Action Plan	Rural	\$160,000.00	Puget Sound Regional Council	Safety Action Plan for the Central Puget Sound Region	Action Plan	Urban	\$4,860,363.00	
	Growth Area	1.			Southwest Washington	Southwest Washington	Action Plan	Urban	\$300,000.00	
City of Lacey	Lacey Safety Action Plan	Action Plan	Rural	\$68,000.00	Regional Transportation Council	Regional Transportation Council Comprehensive				
City of Montesano	Action Plan for the City of Montesano t	Action Plan	Rural	\$200,000.00	Spokane Regional	Safety Action Plan	Action Plan	Urban	\$400,000,00	
City of Toppenish	SS4A Action Plan Grant	Action Plan	Rural	Rural \$80,000.00	Rural \$80,000.00	Transportation Council	Grant for the Spokane, WA Region	Action Han	orban	\$100,000.00
Cowlitz-Wahkiakum Council of Governments	Comprehensive Safety Action Plans for Cowlitz County and five	Action Plan	Rural	\$200,000.00	Thurston County	Thurston County Action Plan	Action Plan	Rural	\$264,000.00	
	incorporated cities.				Walla Walla County	Develop comprehensive	Action Plan	Rural	\$201,696.00	
Grant County	Grant County Safety Action Plan	Action Plan	Rural	\$280,000.00	Department of Public Works	Safety Action Plan in Walla Walla County, Washington				
Island Regional Planning Organization	Island Regional Transportation Planning Organization -	Action Plan	Rural	\$403,200.00	Whatcom Council of Governments	Whatcom Regional Safety Action Plan Development	Action Plan	Rural	\$200,000.00	
	Comprehensive Action Plan				Total Washington			1	\$9,198,763.00	
King County Road Services Division	Safe Streets and Roads for All: King County Road Services Division Action Plan	Action Plan	Urban	\$800,000.00						
Kittitas County Department of Public Works	Snoqualmie Pass Comprehensive Safety Action Plan	Action Plan	Rural	\$429,504.00						
Northeast Washington Regional Transportation Planning Organization	Northeast Washington Regional Transportation Planning Organization (NEW RTPO) Safety Action Plan	Action Plan	Rural	\$352,000.00						





### Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) Grants

Urban

#### Seattle Safe Streets

Applicant: City of Seattle Seattle, Washington

SS4A Award: \$25,654,000

#### **Project Description**

The City of Seattle will implement a vast array of safety treatments to address pedestrian collisions at intersections, including unsignalized intersections, and bicycle crashes.

The project will apply low-cost, high impact strategies on arterial streets in the southeast SODO neighborhood of Seattle, focused on the highest number of serious injury and fatal collisions.

The project will implement approximately 60 signalized intersection treatments, 6 unsignalized intersection treatments, 4 miles of protected bike lanes, 1.5 miles of new sidewalks, and 4.5 miles of arterial traffic calming treatments.



Purple: Planned project locations for SS4A Orange: SS4A Underserved Communities census tracts





# Step 1 (with hints of Steps 2, 3, and 4) Simple Exercise







### Intersections?

### **Pedestrians?**

	2017-2021 All	Fatal/Serious Injury Crashes Only							
		All County							
	County Data	2017- 2021	%	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	
	By Collision Type	_							
	Hit Fixed Object	1,254	42.8%	295	272	237	215	235	
	Angle (T)	339	11.6%	91	64	59	62	63	
	Overturn	259	8.8%	59	63	44	46	47	
	Hit Pedestrian	241	8.2%	61	43	47	43	47	
$ \rightarrow $	Head On	171	5.8%	44	37	29	24	37	
,	Angle (Left Turn)	137	4.7%	39	26	26	21	25	
	Rearend	132	4.5%	39	19	26	25	23	
	Hit Cyclist	71	2.4%	16	13	12	14	16	
$ \rightarrow $	Sideswipe (Same Direction)	70	2.4%	23	15	10	7	15	
$ \rightarrow $	Sideswipe (Opposite Direction)	62	2.1%	15	12	14	11	10	
	Wildlife	41	1.4%	8	8	7	4	14	
	Hit Parked Car	30	1.0%	6	4	9	4	7	
,	Hit Train	4	0.1%	0	1	0	2	1	
	Other	117	4.0%	23	23	22	22	27	



<b>Dark = 38%</b>
-------------------

### Intersections

### Horizontal Curves

	2017-2021 All	Fatal/Serious Injury Crashes Only								
	2017-2021 All		All County							
	County Data	2017- 2021	%	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017		
	By Roadway Surface	-								
	Dry	2,216	75.7%	535	456	419	382	424		
	Wet	574	19.6%	154	116	101	87	116		
	Ice	67	2.3%	11	17	12	15	12		
	Snow / Slush	26	0.9%	8	6	3	5	4		
	Other	45	1.5%	11	5	7	11	11		
	By Light Condition									
	Daylight	1,612	55.1%	401	323	285	287	316		
	Dark - No Street Lights	823	28.1%	198	177	152	139	157		
	Dark - Street Lights On	264	9.0%	61	51	60	39	53		
	Dusk	108	3.7%	30	21	23	13	21		
	Dawn	66	2.3%	14	13	13	14	12		
	Dark - Street Lights Off	22	0.8%	5	3	6	4	4		
	Other	33	1.1%	10	12	3	4	4		
	By Junction Relationship									
	Non-Intersection (Not Related)	1,960	66.9%	473	410	368	336	373		
	Intersection-Related	659	22.5%	165	126	123	112	133		
	Driveway-Related	176	6.0%	45	41	33	26	31		
	By Roadway Curvature									
	Straight & Level	1,269	43.3%	300	262	229	226	252		
-	Horizontal Curve 🔀	1,046	35.7%	240	219	200	174	213		
	Straight & Grade	363	12.4%	98	67	66	65	67		
	Vertical Curve	127	4.3%	33	29	18	19	28		
	Unknown	173	5.9%	58	37	35	24	19		



Fatal/Serious Injury Crashes Only									
	All County								
2017- 2021	%	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017			
ixed Obje	ct Hit								
293	23.4%	72	64	48	49	60			
208	16.6%	48	46	44	37	33			
139	11.1%	31	32	24	24	28			
126	10.0%	33	26	19	23	25			
91	7.3%	22	19	21	18	11			
80	6.4%	18	21	18	6	17			
72	5.7%	18	10	15	15	14			
31	2.5%	6	6	7	5	7			
30	2.4%	5	7	7	7	4			
25	2.0%	8	5	3	5	4			
25	2.0%	2	7	6	4	6			
20	1.6%	4	4	6	3	3			
18	1.4%	6	3	3	2	4			
14	1.1%	2	4	1	4	3			
8	0.6%	4	2	0	1	1			
8	0.6%	2	1	1	2	2			
7	0.6%	1	2	1	1	2			
6	0.5%	3	0	0	1	2			
6	0.5%	1	1	1	2	1			
6	0.5%	3	1	0	1	1			
5	0.4%	1	0	2	0	2			
	2017- 2021 ixed Obje 293 208 139 126 91 80 72 31 30 25 25 20 18 14 8 14 8 8 14 8 8 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 5	Fatal/Set        2017-      %        2021      %        ixed Object Hit      293        208      16.6%        139      11.1%        126      10.0%        91      7.3%        80      6.4%        72      5.7%        31      2.5%        30      2.4%        25      2.0%        25      2.0%        20      1.6%        14      1.1%        8      0.6%        7      0.6%        6      0.5%        6      0.5%        6      0.5%        6      0.5%        6      0.5%	Fatal/Serious          2017- 2021        %        2021          ixed Object Hit        293        23.4%        72          208        16.6%        48          139        11.1%        31          126        10.0%        33          91        7.3%        22          80        6.4%        18          72        5.7%        18          31        2.5%        6          30        2.4%        5          25        2.0%        8          25        2.0%        4          18        1.4%        6          14        1.1%        2          8        0.6%        4          8        0.6%        4          8        0.6%        1          6        0.5%        3          6        0.5%        1          6        0.5%        3          6        0.5%        3	Fatal/Serious Injury          2017- 2021        %        2021        2020          ixed Object Hit        293        23.4%        72        64          208        16.6%        48        46          139        11.1%        31        32          126        10.0%        33        26          91        7.3%        22        19          80        6.4%        18        21          72        5.7%        18        10          31        2.5%        6        6          30        2.4%        5        7          25        2.0%        8        5          25        2.0%        2        7          20        1.6%        4        4          18        1.4%        6        3          14        1.1%        2        4          8        0.6%        4        2          8        0.6%        1        2          8        0.6%        2        1          7        0.6%        1        2          8	Fatal/Serious Injury Crash          All County          2017- 2021        %        2021        2020        2019          ixed Object Hit          293        23.4%        72        64        48          208        16.6%        48        46        44          139        11.1%        31        32        24          126        10.0%        33        26        19          91        7.3%        22        19        21          80        6.4%        18        21        18          72        5.7%        18        100        15          31        2.5%        6        6        7          30        2.4%        5        7        7          25        2.0%        8        5        3          25        2.0%        4        4        6          18        1.4%        6        3        3          14        1.1%        2        4        1          8        0.6%        2        1        1          7	Fatal/Serious injury Crashes On          All County          2017- 2021        %        2021        2020        2019        2018          Seed Object Hit          293        23.4%        72        64        48        49          208        16.6%        48        46        44        37          139        11.1%        31        32        24        24          126        10.0%        33        26        19        23          91        7.3%        22        19        21        18          80        6.4%        18        21        18        6          72        5.7%        18        100        15        15          31        2.5%        6        6        7        5          30        2.4%        5        7        7        7          25        2.0%        2        7        6        4          20        1.6%        4        4        6        3          18        1.4%        6        3        3        2 <tr< td=""></tr<>			

2017-2021 All	Fatal/Serious Injury Crashes Only								
2017-2021 All	All County								
County Data	2017- 2021	%	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017		
By Functional Class									
Rural Major Collector 💢	946	32.3%	218	184	175	183	186		
Rural Local Access	459	15.7%	60	160	104	56	79		
Urban Minor Arterial	377	12.9%	119	46	57	70	85		
Urban Major Collector	313	10.7%	58	81	91	45	38		
Rural Minor Collector	254	8.7%	94	14	8	59	79		
Urban Local Access	175	6.0%	37	36	37	29	36		
Urban Other Principal Arterial	147	5.0%	69	1	8	32	37		
Rural Minor Arterial	132	4.5%	34	24	25	23	26		
Rural Other Freeway/Expressway	65	2.2%	6	38	21	0	0		



### Intersections

### Crossovers

	2017-2021 All	Fa	atal/Se	erious	Injury	Crash	les On	ly
		All County						
	County Data	2017- 2021	%	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
	By Contributing Circumstance							
	Exceeding Safe / Stated Speed	956	25.7%	233	198	169	184	172
	Inattention / Distraction	700	18.8%	119	94	160	154	173
×	Under Influence of Alcohol / Drugs	606	16.3%	134	132	116	107	117
	Failing to Yield	257	6.9%	70	58	44	38	47
	Disregard Traffic Signs / Signals	135	3.6%	31	31	28	19	26
	Apparently Asleep / Fatigued	105	2.8%	26	18	17	20	24
	Over Centerline	103	2.8%	0	0	34	25	44
	Operating Defective Equipment	86	2.3%	22	21	13	16	14
	Improper Passing	82	2.2%	19	16	17	8	22
	Operating Recklessly / Aggressively	76	2.0%	32	43	1	0	0
	Overcorrecting / Oversteering	60	1.6%	28	30	2	0	0
	On Wrong Side of Road	58	1.6%	2	1	17	16	22
,	Improper Turn	45	1.2%	20	8	11	2	4
	Following Too Close	40	1.1%	12	8	3	7	10
	Failing to Yield to Ped / Cyclist	36	1.0%	5	7	11	7	6
	Apparently III	25	0.7%	7	6	6	4	2



### Motorcycles

	2017-2021 All County Data	Fatal/Serious Injury Crashes Only						
				Α	II Count	:y		
		2017- 2021	%	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
	By Vehicle Type							
	Light Truck / SUV	1,781	42.5%	452	356	355	283	335
×	Passenger Car	1,515	36.2%	384	303	270	271	287
	Motorcycle	625	14.9%	148	120	114	113	130
	Heavy Truck	133	3.2%	30	31	23	22	27
	School Bus	7	0.2%	2	0	2	3	0
	Bus	5	0.1%	1	0	1	2	1
	Other	123	2.9%	30	33	17	19	24
	By Speed Limit							
	20 MPH	17	0.5%	3	2	4	3	5
	25 MPH	258	7.0%	65	47	41	53	52
	30 MPH	99	2.7%	24	24	20	15	16
	35 МРН 🗙	1,373	37.5%	378	266	247	234	248
	40 MPH	367	10.0%	84	83	74	51	75
	45 MPH	444	12.1%	124	89	91	66	74
	50 MPH	919	25.1%	225	198	156	149	191
	55 MPH	156	4.3%	30	38	28	31	29
	60 MPH	31	0.8%	7	3	5	10	6
	By Roadway Surface Type							
	Blacktop	3,602	85.9%	900	731	664	613	694
	Concrete	212	5.1%	56	35	47	30	44
	Gravel	70	1.7%	14	22	14	8	12
	Dirt	44	1.0%	14	8	7	6	9
	Brick/Wood Block	2	0.0%	0	2	0	0	0
	Other	167	4.0%	35	29	28	40	35
	Unknown	96	2.3%	28	16	23	17	12



# **Step 1 Takeaways**

### **More Investigation**

- Lane Departure crashes (63%)
- Dark conditions (38%)
  No street lights (28%)
- Intersections (23%)
- Horizontal Curves (36%)
- Motorcycle crashes (15%)

### **Risk Factors (so far)**

- Areas with trees near the road
- Areas with utility poles near the road
- Rural Major Collectors
- 35 MPH posted roads



# Lane Departure Details

Hit Fixed Object	1152	71.9%
Head On	165	10.3%
Overturn	137	8.5%
Sideswipe (Opposite Direction)	58	3.6%
Hit Parked Car	23	1.4%
	-	
Rural Major Collector	605	38.6%
Rural Local Access 68%	302	19.3%
Rural Minor Collector	165	10.5%
Urban Minor Arterial	142	9.1%
Urban Major Collector	130	8.3%
Urban Local Access	83	5.3%
Rural Minor Arterial	77	4.9%
Rural Other Freeway/Expressway	32	2.0%
Urban Other Principal Arterial	31	2.0%

Daylight	816	50.9%	
Dark - No Street Lights	559	34.99 28	%
Dark - Street Lights On	93	5.8%	
Dusk	59	3.7%	
Dawn	43	2.7%	
Horizontal Curve 🗙	869	<mark>54.2%</mark> 36	%
Straight & Level	450	28.1%	
Straight & Grade	168	10.5%	
Vertical Curve	69	4.3%	
	4.07	6.00/	1
25 MPH	107	6.2%	
30 MPH	40	2.3%	
35 MPH	592	34.3%	
40 MPH	194	11.2%	
45 MPH	227	13.2%	
50 MPH	474	27.5%	
55 MPH	67	3.9%	



# **Crashes During Darkness**

			400/
Hit Fixed Object	569	50.4%	42%
Hit Pedestrian	146	12.9%	8%
Overturn	87	7.7%	
Angle (T)	74	6.6%	
Head On	55	4.9%	
Angle (Left Turn)	42	3.7%	

Non-Intersection (Not Related)	822	72.9%	
Intersection-Related	193	17.1%	23%
Driveway-Related	47	4.2%	

Rural Major Collector	354	32.1%
Urban Minor Arterial	172	15.6%
Rural Local Access	164	14.9%
Urban Major Collector	129	11.7%
Rural Minor Collector	74	6.7%
Urban Local Access	72	6.5%
Urban Other Principal Arterial	58	5.3%
Rural Minor Arterial	52	4.7%
Rural Other Freeway/Expressway	28	2.5%

Straight & Level	490	43.4%	
Horizontal Curve	422	37.4%	36%
Straight & Grade	124	11.0%	
Vertical Curve	34	3.0%	



### **Horizontal Curves**

-				
Hit Fixed Object	ct	661	63.2%	
Overturn	53% of all HFO	122	11.7%	
Head On	47% of all Overtur	n <mark>86</mark>	8.2%	
Hit Pedestrian 50% of all Head O		n 27	2.6%	
Angle (T)		26	2.5%	
Sideswipe (Op	posite Direction)	25	2.4%	
Hit Cyclist		20	1.9%	
Daylight		565	54.0%	
Dark - No Street Lights		348	33.3% 28	3%
Vertical Curve		50	4.8%	
Motorcycle	43% of all MC	269	20.6%	
Rural Major Co	llector	380	37.2%	
Rural Local Access		194	19.0%	
Urban Minor Arterial		104	10.2%	
Rural Minor Collector		102	10.0%	
Urban Major Co	ollector	93	9.1%	
Urban Local Ac	cess	63	6.2%	
Rural Minor Arterial		46	4.5%	

Tree / Stump (Stationary)		165	25.0%	
Roadway Ditch		108	16.3%	
Earth Bank 56% of all Tree		71	10.7%	
Utility Pole 52% of all Ditch	_	57	8.6%	
Guardrail 51% of all Earth	Bank	55	8.3%	
Over Embanki 53% of all Over I	Emb.	48	7.3%	
Guardrail (Fa <mark>ce)</mark>		41	6.2%	
Fence		29	4.4%	
Boulder (Stationary)		22	3.3%	
Wood Sign Post		15	2.3%	
Mail Box		13	2.0%	
Retaining Wall		10	1.5%	
Culvert		10	1.5%	
Metal Sign Post		9	1.4%	
Guardrail (Through/Over/Under)		9	1.4%	
Linear Curb		8	1.2%	
Rock Bank		7	1.1%	
35 MPH		446	38.5% 3	8%
40 MPH			11.2%	Γ
45 MPH		146	12.6%	
50 MPH		272	23.5%	



# Step 1 Takeaways part 2

### **Risk Factors (so far)**

• Areas with trees near the road

#### or

- Areas with utility poles near the road
- Rural Major Collectors
  - Rural Minor Collector ½ Weight
  - Rural Local Access ½ Weight
- 35 MPH posted speed
- Horizontal Curves

### **Next Steps**

- Plot crash locations on a map
- Traffic Volumes
- Shoulders & Road Width
- Define Clear Zone Areas
  - Trees
  - Utility Poles

### **Likely Priorities**

- Lane Departure Crashes
  - Horizontal Curves
  - Rural Collectors & Local Roads







### **Contact Info**

### **Matthew Enders**

Technical Services Manager WSDOT Local Programs <u>matthew.enders@wsdot.wa.gov</u> 360-705-6907







