

**SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
and SECTION 4(f)/6(f) EVALUATION
SR 520 BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND HOV PROGRAM**

JANUARY 2010

SR 520, I-5 to Medina: Bridge Replacement and HOV Project



**Washington
Department of Transportation**

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Program

SR 520, I-5 to Medina: Bridge Replacement and HOV Project Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluation

ABSTRACT

The existing Portage Bay and Evergreen Point bridges on SR 520 are at the end of their useful life and must be replaced. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) plan to replace the existing facilities in order to provide structures capable of withstanding windstorms and earthquakes and to improve mobility and access for people and goods along the corridor.

The August 2006 Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the project evaluated the No Build Alternative and two build alternatives, the 4-Lane Alternative and the 6-Lane Alternative. This Supplemental Draft EIS and Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluation evaluates the effects of a No Build Alternative and three design options for the 6-Lane Alternative. These design options were developed through a mediation process established as part of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 6099, enacted during the 2008 session of the Washington State Legislature. The Supplemental Draft EIS analyzes the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of each 6-lane option compared to No Build.

SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO:
National Environmental Policy Act, 42 USC
4332(2)(C)

State Environmental Policy Act, Chapter 43.21C,
Revised Code of Washington & Chapter 197-11,
Washington Administrative Code 468-12

Section 4(f) (49 USC § 303 and USC § 138)



Randolph L. Everett, Seattle Major Projects Oversight Manager
Federal Highway Administration

JANUARY 5, 2010

Date of Approval



Megan White, Director of Environmental Services
Washington State Department of Transportation

1/5/10

Date of Approval

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Information



Materials can be provided in alternative formats—large print, Braille, cassette tape, or on computer disk—for people with disabilities by calling the Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO) at (360) 705-7097. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may contact OEO through the Washington Relay Service at 7-1-1.

Title VI Information

Washington State Department of Transportation ensures full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by prohibiting discrimination against any person on the basis of race, color, national origin or sex in the provision of benefits and services resulting from its federally assisted programs and activities. For questions regarding WSDOT's Title VI Program, you may contact the Department's Title VI Coordinator at (360) 705-7098 or (509) 324-6018.



Printed on
Recycled and
Recyclable
Paper

This document is printed on 100 percent recycled content paper.
The binder is 70 percent post-consumer waste content and is 100 percent recyclable.

SEPA Fact Sheet

Project Name: SR 520, I-5 to Medina: Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

Project Description

The SR 520, I-5 to Medina: Bridge Replacement and HOV Project proposes to replace SR 520's Portage Bay and Evergreen Point bridges and improve the existing roadway between I-5 in Seattle and Evergreen Point Road in Medina.

The proposed action spans 5.2 miles of the SR 520 corridor and is one of four projects in the SR 520 Program. A 2006 Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) analyzed proposed corridor construction from the I-5 interchange in Seattle to just west of I-405 in Bellevue. In response to construction sequencing and funding, four independent projects now form the SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Program, to address growing transit demand on the Eastside and vulnerable structures in Seattle and on Lake Washington.

This Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluation analyzes a No Build Alternative and a 6-Lane Alternative for the I-5 to Medina corridor with three design options for the Montlake interchange area. The 6-Lane Alternative would add continuous HOV lanes and include three landscaped lids over SR 520 to reconnect neighborhoods that are now separated by the highway.

Project Proponents

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is the NEPA lead agency; Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) is the lead SEPA agency.

Responsible SEPA Official

Megan White, Director
Washington State Department of Transportation
Environmental Services Office
WSDOT
P.O. Box 47331
Olympia, WA 98504

Proposed Project Implementation

Construction is planned to begin in 2012, and the new Evergreen Point Bridge is expected to open to traffic in 2014. If full funding is available, the rest of the project would be completed by 2018.

Comments and Contact Information

All written comments should be sent to:

Jenifer Young, Environmental Manager
SR 520 Project Office
600 Stewart Street, Suite 520
Seattle, WA 98101

Comments may also be sent by email to sr520sdeiscomments@wsdot.wa.gov, and the public can access the Supplemental Draft EIS and comment online at: www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/sr520Bridge

Permits and Approvals

Federal

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

- Section 404, Individual Permits
- Section 10, Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

U.S. Coast Guard: Section 9, Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

U.S. Department of the Interior Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (Section 6(f))

U.S. Department of Transportation Section 4(f)

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries

- Section 7, Endangered Species Act Consultation
- Magnuson-Stevens Essential Fish Habitat Consultation

Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation:
National Historic Preservation Act Consultation (Section 106)

State

Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Clean Air Conformity Certification

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Hydraulic Project Approval

Washington Department of Natural Resources Aquatic Lands Use Authorization

Washington State Department of Ecology

- 401 Water Quality Certification
- 402 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
- Coastal Zone Management Act

Local

WSDOT will obtain the applicable local permits from the cities of Seattle and Medina, where the project would be located.

Authors and Principal Contributors

This Supplemental Draft EIS was prepared under the direction of WSDOT and FHWA. Research, analysis, and document preparation were provided by the preparers listed in Attachment 3.

Document Issue Date

Friday, January 22, 2010

Comment Period

The comment period on the Supplemental Draft EIS will begin on January 22, 2010, when notice of Supplemental Draft EIS is issued in the Federal Register and SEPA Register. WSDOT will accept comments through Monday, March 8, 2010. The comment period closes at midnight on March 8, 2010.

Environmental Hearing Date and Locations

A public hearing to provide information and accept comments on the Supplemental Draft EIS will be held:

February 23, 2010, 5 to 7 p.m.
Lake Union Park
Naval Reserve Building – Great Hall
860 Terry Avenue North
Seattle, WA 98109

Subsequent Environmental Review

The comment period ends March 8, 2010. A Preferred Alternative will be identified, and issuance of a Final EIS is anticipated by January 2011. The lead agencies will respond to comments in the Final EIS. Following issuance of the Final EIS, a Record of Decision will be issued by the Federal Highway Administration.

Location of Background Data

The 2006 Draft EIS, technical discipline reports, and other supporting documentation are maintained at the following locations:

Federal Highway Administration
Randy Everett
Seattle Major Projects Oversight Manager
Federal Highway Administration
915 Second Avenue
Room 3142
Seattle, WA 98174

Washington State Department of Transportation
Allison Hanson
Environmental Director
Mega Projects Office
999 Third Avenue, Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98104

Document Cost and Availability

The Supplemental Draft EIS is available on CD-ROM at no charge, and print copies are available for \$60, a cost which does not exceed printing and mailing costs. Copies can be obtained at the SR 520 Project Office, 999 Third Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98104. The Supplemental Draft EIS and related attachments can also be viewed at the following libraries:

Aberdeen Timberland Library
Bellevue College Library Media Center
King County Library System - Bellevue Regional Library
King County Library System - Kingsgate Library
King County Library System - Kirkland Library
King County Library System - Lake Hills Library
King County Library System - Newport Way Library
King County Library System - Redmond Regional Library
Lake Washington Technical College, Technical Library
Municipal Research and Services Center Library
Natural Resources Library
North Seattle Community College Library
Seattle Central Community College, Library
Seattle Public Library - Central Branch
Seattle Public Library - International District/Chinatown Branch
Seattle Public Library - Madrona-Sally Goldmark Branch

Seattle Public Library - Montlake Branch
Seattle Public Library - North East Branch
Seattle Public Library - University Branch
Sound Transit
Timberland Regional Library
US Army Corp of Engineers - Seattle District Library
US EPA Region 10 Library
UW - Suzzallo and Allen Libraries
UW Department of Engineering - Library
UW Office of the Board of Regents
Washington State Department of Ecology Library
Washington State Library
WSDOT Headquarters Library

Contents

Executive Summary	bound separately
Fact Sheet	iii
Chapter 1: Introduction to the Project	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 What is the project purpose?	1-3
1.3 Why is the project needed now?	1-4
1.4 What would the project accomplish?	1-6
1.5 What would happen if the project were not built?.....	1-7
1.6 Who has been involved in planning the environmental process?	1-8
1.7 How were the project alternatives and design options identified and evaluated?.....	1-9
1.8 What alternatives and design options from the Draft EIS have been eliminated from further study?.....	1-22
1.9 What are the choices evaluated in this SDEIS?.....	1-23
1.10 When would the project be built?.....	1-31
1.11 How much would the project cost, and how much has been funded?	1-31
1.12 How will tolling be used on SR 520?	1-33
1.13 What else has changed since publication of the Draft EIS?.....	1-35
1.14 How does the SR 520, I-5 to Medina project meet the criteria for logical termini and independent utility?	1-37
1.15 How has the public been involved during the preparation of this SDEIS?	1-38
1.16 Why, and how, was this SDEIS developed?	1-42
1.17 What are the next steps?.....	1-43
1.18 How can I be involved, and how will WSDOT communicate with the public?.....	1-44
Chapter 2: Alternatives	2-1
2.1 What is the No Build Alternative?.....	2-1
2.2 What is the 6-Lane Alternative?.....	2-2
2.3 What are design options A, K, and L?.....	2-6
2.4 Could the project be built in phases?	2-34
2.5 How will WSDOT make decisions about how to move forward with the project?	2-40
2.6 How do the 6-Lane Alternative design options compare to those evaluated in the Draft EIS?.....	2-41
Chapter 3: Construction Activities	3-1
3.1 Where and when would construction occur?	3-1
3.2 What are the construction activities and sequencing for Options A, K, and L?.....	3-11
Chapter 4: The Project Area’s Environment	4-1
4.1 Transportation	4-1
4.2 Land Use and Economic Activity.....	4-10
4.3 Social Elements.....	4-17
4.4 Recreation.....	4-26
4.5 Visual Quality.....	4-34
4.6 Cultural Resources.....	4-40
4.7 Noise.....	4-51

4.8	Air Quality	4-53
4.9	Energy and Greenhouse Gases	4-55
4.10	Water Resources	4-56
4.11	Ecosystems	4-61
4.12	Geology and Soils	4-72
4.13	Hazardous Materials	4-75
4.14	Navigation	4-77
Chapter 5: Project Operation and Permanent Effects		5-1
5.1	Transportation	5-1
5.2	Land Use and Economic Activity	5-32
5.3	Social Elements	5-44
5.4	Recreation	5-53
5.5	Visual Quality	5-64
5.6	Cultural Resources	5-82
5.7	Noise	5-101
5.8	Air Quality	5-112
5.9	Energy and Greenhouse Gases	5-115
5.10	Water Resources	5-117
5.11	Ecosystems	5-126
5.12	Geology and Soils	5-147
5.13	Hazardous Materials	5-149
5.14	Navigation	5-151
5.15	Phased Implementation Scenario	5-152
5.16	Summary of Project Operation and Permanent Effects	5-165
Chapter 6: Effects During Construction of the Project		6-1
6.1	Transportation	6-1
6.2	Land Use and Economic Activity	6-19
6.3	Social Elements	6-27
6.4	Recreation	6-38
6.5	Visual Quality	6-50
6.6	Cultural Resources	6-57
6.7	Noise	6-64
6.8	Air Quality	6-72
6.9	Energy and Greenhouse Gases	6-75
6.10	Water Resources	6-76
6.11	Ecosystems	6-80
6.12	Geology and Soils	6-100
6.13	Hazardous Materials	6-103
6.14	Navigation	6-107
6.15	Pontoon Production and Transport	6-108
6.16	Summary of Effects During Construction	6-112
6.17	Phased Implementation Scenario	6-128
Chapter 7: Indirect and Cumulative Effects		7-1
7.1	What are indirect and cumulative effects?	7-1
7.2	Why are indirect and cumulative effects considered in an EIS?	7-2
7.3	How did WSDOT identify and evaluate indirect effects?	7-2
7.4	How did WSDOT assess cumulative effects?	7-4

7.5 How did WSDOT determine the study areas and time frames for the cumulative effects assessments? 7-5

7.6 How did WSDOT determine the baseline condition of each resource? 7-8

7.7 How did WSDOT identify other present and reasonably foreseeable future actions? 7-8

7.8 What indirect and cumulative effects did WSDOT identify? 7-9

Chapter 8: Other Considerations 8-1

8.1 Are there any adverse effects that cannot be mitigated? 8-1

8.2 What irreversible decisions or irretrievable resources would be committed to building the project? 8-3

8.3 What are the tradeoffs between the short-term uses of environmental resources and long-term gains (or productivity) from the project? 8-4

8.4 Do any areas of controversy remain to be resolved? 8-5

Attachments

1 Acronyms and Abbreviations

2 Index

3 List of Preparers

4 Distribution List

5 References

6 Draft Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluation

Note: For all hard copies, Attachments 7, 8, and 9 are provided on the CD at the end of this SDEIS.

7 Discipline Reports:

- Agency Coordination and Public Involvement Discipline Report
- Air Quality Discipline Report
- Construction Techniques and Activities Discipline Report
- Cultural Resources Discipline Report
- Description of Alternatives Discipline Report
- Ecosystems Discipline Report
- Energy Discipline Report
- Environmental Justice Discipline Report
- Geology and Soils Discipline Report
- Hazardous Materials Discipline Report
- Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis Discipline Report
- Land Use, Economics, and Relocations Discipline Report
- Navigable Waterways Discipline Report
- Noise Discipline Report
- Recreation Discipline Report
- Social Elements Discipline Report
- Transportation Discipline Report
- Visual Quality and Aesthetics Discipline Report
- Water Resources Discipline Report

8 Range of Alternatives and Options Evaluated

9 Mitigation Plans

Tables

1-1	Summary Comparison of 6-Lane Alternative with Design Options A, K, and L.....	1-30
1-2	Range of Possible Choices for Preferred Alternative	1-31
1-3	Cost Estimates for SR 520 Corridor Projects (year of expenditure)	1-32
1-4	Funding Sources.....	1-33
2-1	Proposed Stormwater Treatment Facilities	2-4
2-2	Comparison of Portage Bay Bridge Options.....	2-12
2-3	West Approach Structures.....	2-24
2-4	Area and Dimensions for the Floating Portion of the Evergreen Point Bridge.....	2-30
2-5	East Approach Structure Elements	2-32
2-6	Comparison of Draft EIS and SDEIS 6-Lane Design Options for Portage Bay, Montlake, and West Approach Areas.....	2-43
3-1	Typical Construction Equipment.....	3-3
3-2	Estimated Number of Peak Construction Period Haul Route Trips on Local Highways.....	3-5
3-3	WDFW-Established In-Water Work Windows.....	3-7
3-4	I-5 Area – Construction Elements and Truck Trips.....	3-12
3-5	Portage Bay Area – Construction Elements and Truck Trips.....	3-14
3-6	Montlake Interchange Area – Construction Elements and Truck Trips.....	3-18
3-7	West Approach Area – Construction Elements and Truck Trips	3-31
3-8	Pontoons to be Constructed for Evergreen Point Bridge.....	3-37
3-9	East Approach Area Construction Elements.....	3-44
4.1-1	Range of Existing Bus Travel Times between NE 51st Street in Redmond and the Montlake Freeway Transit Station in Seattle	4-5
4.4-1	Summary Information about Recreation Resources in the Project Vicinity	4-28
4.6-1	Listed and Individually Eligible Historic Properties in the APE	4-44
4.9-1	Energy and Fuel Consumption under Existing Conditions (2006)	4-55
4.11-1	Occurrence of Federally and State Listed Fish Species in the Study Area	4-67
4.11-2	Occurrence of Federally and State Listed Wildlife Species in the Study Area.....	4-70
5.1-1	Daily Vehicle Demand	5-5
5.1-2	Potentially Affected Parking Areas	5-31
5.2-1	6-Lane Alternative Land Use Effects – by Design Option.....	5-33
5.2-2	Right-of-way Requirements by Geographic Area.....	5-34
5.2-3	Right-of-way Requirements by Land Use Type.....	5-34
5.2-4	Estimated Annual Property Tax Effects within Seattle.....	5-41

5.4-1 Permanent Park Acquisition (acres).....5-54

5.5-1 Visual Quality Effects in Roanoke Landscape Unit.....5-65

5.5-2 Visual Quality Effects in Portage Bay Landscape Unit5-66

5.5-3 Visual Quality Effects in Montlake Landscape Unit.....5-67

5.5-4 Visual Quality Effects in West Approach Landscape Unit.....5-72

5.5-5 Visual Quality Effects in Lake Washington Landscape Unit.....5-76

5.5-6 Visual Quality Effects in Eastside Landscape Unit.....5-77

5.7-1 Residences where Noise Levels Would Approach or Exceed the NAC in 2030 without Noise Walls..... 5-102

5.7-2 Residences where Noise Levels Would Approach or Exceed the NAC in 2030 with Noise Walls..... 5-108

5.8-1 Maximum 1-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (ppm)..... 5-113

5.8-2 Maximum 8-hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (ppm)..... 5-113

5.8-3 Emissions Burden Analysis—Daily Project Emissions of Criteria Pollutants for the Region..... 5-114

5.9-1 Annual Energy Consumption During Operation..... 5-116

5.10-1 Pollutant-Generating Impervious Surface (acres)..... 5-119

5.10-2 Proposed Stormwater Facilities 5-121

5.10-3 Net Changes in Pollutant Loads Compared to No Build 5-124

5.11-1 Permanent Wetland and Buffer Fill Effects by Geographic Area (in acres) 5-127

5.11-2 Area of Shade from Overwater Structures (acres)..... 5-132

5.11-3 Approximate Structure Height (feet) Above High Water Level by Option..... 5-133

5.11-4 Estimated Numbers of Concrete Columns for Portions of the Proposed Bridges and Area of Substrate Occupied, by Option 5-134

5.11-5 Permanent Vegetation Removal by Cover and Habitat Type (acres)..... 5-141

5.11-6 Potential Wetland Mitigation Needs for the Project (acres) 5-145

5.14-1 Changes in Navigational Restrictions in Lake Washington with 6-Lane Alternative 5-151

5.15-1 Westbound AM Peak Period Cross-Lake Vehicle and Person Trips 5-154

5.15-2 Westbound AM Peak Period Travel Times (minutes) – I-5 to SR 202..... 5-155

5.15-3 Westbound PM Peak Period Cross-Lake Vehicle and Person Trips..... 5-156

5.15-4 Westbound PM Peak Period Travel Times (minutes) – I-5 to SR 202 5-157

5.15-5 Eastbound PM Peak Period Cross-Lake Vehicle and Person Trips..... 5-158

5.15-6 Phased Implementation Permanent Right-of-Way Effects (acres)..... 5-158

5.15-7 Estimated Property Tax Decreases for City of Seattle, Phased Implementation Scenario..... 5-159

5.15-8 Phased Implementation Scenario, Park Acquisition (acres) 5-161

5.16-1 Summary Comparison of Operation Effects 6-Lane Alternative Options 5-166

5.16-2 Project Operation and Permanent Effects – Quantitative Impacts Summary 5-174

6.1-1 Expected Change in Traffic Volumes During Construction with the Closure of Lake Washington Boulevard Ramps (AM and PM Peak Hours)6-3

6.1-2 Traffic Conditions in Montlake Boulevard/Pacific Street Area During Construction of Options K and L6-5

6.1-3 Haul Routes and Estimated Truck Trips6-7

6.1-4 Summary of Effects of Truck Traffic in Seattle6-8

6.1-5 Parking Effects During Construction.....6-14

6.2-1 Full-time Jobs6-26

6.4-1 Construction Effects on Parks (acres).....6-38

6.7-1 Construction Equipment Maximum Noise Levels.....6-65

6.7-2 City of Seattle and Washington State – Maximum Permissible Sound Levels6-66

6.7-3 Washington State and City of Seattle Exemptions for Short-Term Noise Exceedances.....6-66

6.7-4 City of Seattle – Maximum Noise Levels for Impact Types of Equipment.....6-66

6.7-5 Noise Levels for Typical Construction Phases at 50 Feet from Work Site.....6-68

6.9-1 Estimated Onsite Energy Use for Construction.....6-75

6.11-1 Wetland and Wetland Buffer Fill or Clearing During Construction (acres).....6-80

6.11-2 Wetland and Wetland Buffer Shading During Construction (acres)6-81

6.11-3 Shading from Temporary Overwater Structures (acres).....6-88

6.11-4 Temporary Support Piles and Affected Area of Substrate (acres).....6-89

6.11-5 Vegetation Removal for Construction by Geographic Area (acres).....6-96

6.11-6 Shading from Construction by Cover and Habitat Type (acres).....6-96

6.12-1 Estimated Excavation and Fill Quantities (cubic yards)..... 6-101

6.13-1 Hazardous Material Sites Potentially Affected by Construction 6-104

6.15-1 Estimated Diesel Fuel Consumption and Energy Use during Transport of Pontoons 6-110

6.16-1 Summary Comparison of Construction Effects of 6-Lane Alternative Options 6-113

6.16-2 Quantitative Effects Summary..... 6-127

6.17-1 Energy Consumption (in MBtu) during Construction, Phased Implementation Scenario..... 6-133

7-1 Eight-Step Approach for Indirect Effects Assessment Summarized from NCHRP Report 4667-3

7-2 Eight-Step Approach for Cumulative Effects Assessment Summarized from Guidance on Preparing Cumulative Impact Analyses7-4

Exhibits

1-1 Project Vicinity Map..... 1-1

1-2 Points along SR 520 Vulnerable to Earthquake or Windstorms..... 1-5

1-3 SR 520 Project Timeline 1-9

1-4 No Build Alternative Roadway Cross Section 1-23

1-5 6-Lane Alternative Roadway Cross Section..... 1-24

1-6 Overview of the 6-Lane Alternative 1-24

1-7 6-Lane Alternative Design Options 1-28

2-1 No Build Alternative Roadway Cross Section2-1

2-2 6-Lane Alternative Roadway Cross Section.....2-2

2-3	No Build Alternative – I-5 Area	2-7
2-4	I-5 Area	2-8
2-5	No Build Alternative – Portage Bay	2-10
2-6	Portage Bay Area	2-11
2-7	Portage Bay Profile	2-12
2-8	No Build Alternative – Montlake Area	2-13
2-9	Montlake Area	2-17
2-10	Option A Montlake Interchange	2-19
2-11	Option K SPUI	2-19
2-12	Option L SPUI	2-21
2-13	No Build Alternative - West Approach Area	2-22
2-14	West Approach Area	2-25
2-15	West Approach Profiles	2-26
2-16	Montlake Area – Options A, K, and L with the Suboptions	2-28
2-17	6-Lane Alternative at Evergreen Point Bridge (Common to All Options)	2-31
2-18	Bridge Maintenance Facility	2-33
2-19	Conceptual Plan View of Bridge Maintenance Facility Dock	2-33
2-20	6-Lane Alternative in the East Approach and Eastside Transition Area	2-35
2-21	Project Phasing	2-36
2-22	Phased Implementation Transition Areas	2-39
2-23	Comparison of the SDEIS 6-Lane Design Options with the Alternatives Evaluated in the DEIS	2-42
3-1	Construction Staging Areas	3-2
3-2	Potential Haul Routes	3-4
3-3	Durations for Roadway and Ramp Closures	3-5
3-4	Road Closures and Detours	3-6
3-5	Area and Types of In-Water Work	3-8
3-6	Construction Elements and Durations in the I-5 Area	3-12
3-7	Construction Elements and Durations in the Portage Bay Area	3-15
3-8	Construction Elements and Durations in the Montlake Area	3-19
3-9	Option A – Construction of the Montlake Interchange	3-21
3-10	Option K – SPUI Construction	3-23
3-11	Option K – Construction of the SPUI and Tunnel	3-24
3-12	Tunnel Construction Plan View and Cross Section	3-26
3-13	Option L – Construction of the SPUI and Bascule Bridge	3-29
3-14	Construction Elements and Durations in the West Approach Area for Options A and L	3-32
3-15	Construction Elements and Durations in the West Approach Area for Option K	3-33
3-16	Pontoon and Anchor Configuration	3-38

3-17	Proposed Pontoon Construction Locations.....	3-39
3-18	Potential Pontoon Transport Route	3-41
3-19	Construction Elements in the Eastside Transition Area.....	3-43
4.1-1	Distribution and Type of Eastbound and Westbound Crash Rates along SR 520	4-2
4.1-2	Montlake Boulevard Existing Areas of Congestion	4-3
4.1-3	Transit Ridership across Evergreen Point Bridge.....	4-4
4.1-4	Existing Transit and HOV Facilities within the Montlake Area	4-6
4.1-5	SR 520 Montlake Freeway Transit Station Use.....	4-6
4.1-6	Evergreen Point Freeway Transit Station Use	4-8
4.1-7	SR 520/Montlake Interchange Area Pedestrian Volumes and Bicycle/Pedestrian Routes.....	4-9
4.2-1	Existing Land Use in Seattle	4-12
4.2-2	Existing Land Use on the Eastside	4-12
4.2-3	Seattle Shoreline Designations.....	4-15
4.2-4	Eastside Shoreline Designations.....	4-16
4.3-1	Neighborhoods and Community Facilities.....	4-18
4.3-2	Distribution of Low Income and Minority Populations in the Study Area	4-22
4.3-3	Major Utilities in Seattle Project Area	4-24
4.4-1	Parks and Recreational Facilities in Seattle Project Area.....	4-27
4.4-2	Parks and Recreational Facilities on the Eastside.....	4-34
4.5-1	Seattle Viewshed and Landscape Units	4-35
4.5-2	Eastside Viewshed and Landscape Units.....	4-35
4.6-1	Historic Properties in Seattle.....	4-43
4.6-2	Historic Properties on the Eastside	4-50
4.7-1	Existing Noise Levels in the Seattle Project Area	4-52
4.7-2	Existing Noise Levels in the Eastside Project Area	4-53
4.9-1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Source	4-56
4.10-1	Seattle Drainage Basins and Stormwater Flow	4-57
4.10-2	Eastside Drainage Basins and Stormwater Flow	4-59
4.11-1	Wetlands in the Seattle Project Area.....	4-62
4.11-2	Wetland Types.....	4-62
4.11-3	Potential Sockeye Spawning Beach.....	4-66
4.12-1	Geologic Hazards in the Seattle Project Area	4-73
4.12-2	Geologic Hazards in the Eastside Project Area.....	4-73
4.12-3	Cross Section of Project Area Soils	4-73
4.13-1	Hazardous Material Sites in the Seattle Project Area.....	4-76
4.14-1	Bridges and Navigational Clearances between Chittenden Locks and Lake Washington	4-78
5.1-1	Forecasted Growth in Population and Employment between 2006 and 2030.....	5-2
5.1-2	Daily Vehicle and Person Demand by Mode Across the SR 520 Bridge (mid-span).....	5-5

5.1-3	Traffic Demand and Throughput during Peak Periods Today and in 2030	5-6
5.1-4	Westbound Areas of Congestion During the Morning Commute Peak Period.....	5-8
5.1-5	Average Travel Times (in minutes), I-5 to SR 202 during the Morning Commute	5-8
5.1-6	Eastbound Areas of Congestion During the Morning Commute Peak Period.....	5-9
5.1-7	Westbound Areas of Congestion During the Evening Commute Peak Period	5-11
5.1-8	Average Travel Times (in minutes), I-5 to SR 202 during the Evening Commute.....	5-11
5.1-9	Eastbound Areas of Congestion During the Evening Commute Peak Period	5-13
5.1-10	Traffic Congestion at Seattle Project Area Intersections 2030 AM and PM Peak Hours	5-15
5.1-11	Traffic Volume Changes during the AM Peak Period.....	5-16
5.1-12	Traffic Volume Changes during the PM Peak Period	5-17
5.1-13	Daily Person Trips by Bus.....	5-20
5.1-14	Rendering of Sound Transit's University of Washington Station Entrance with Pedestrian Bridge (opening in 2016)	5-25
5.1-15	Future Trail Connectivity.....	5-29
5.1-16	Potentially Affected Parking.....	5-30
5.2-1	Right-of-way Acquisitions in the I-5 Area	5-33
5.2-2	Right-of-way Acquisitions in the Portage Bay Area.....	5-34
5.2-3	Right-of-way Acquisitions in the Montlake Area	5-35
5.2-4	Right-of-way Acquisitions in the West Approach Area	5-36
5.2-5	Affected Structures	5-37
5.2-6	Right-of-way Acquisition on the Eastside	5-38
5.3-1	Potential Lids in Montlake Boulevard Area.....	5-45
5.3-2	Travelshed Study Area	5-48
5.4-1	Permanent Park Acquisition at Bagley Viewpoint (all design options).....	5-54
5.4-2	Permanent Park Acquisition at East Montlake and McCurdy Parks.....	5-56
5.4-3	Permanent Park Acquisition in UW Open Space.....	5-58
5.4-4	Permanent Acquisition in Washington Park Arboretum	5-60
5.5-1	Visualization Location and Direction	5-65
5.5-2	View of Portage Bay Bridge Columns (Visualization Location 3).....	5-67
5.5-3	Looking Northeast from Lake Washington Boulevard at MOHAI and McCurdy Park Trees (Visualization Location 13).....	5-68
5.5-4	Looking from East End of Shelby Street across East Montlake Park toward Marsh Island (Visualization Location 12).....	5-69
5.5-5	Looking West from Northeast Corner of East Montlake Park toward Montlake Bridge (Visualization Location 15).....	5-71
5.5-6	Looking South from Foster Island's North Shoreline toward SR 520 (Visualization Location 17).....	5-73
5.5-7	Looking South from Pedestrian Bridge between MOHAI and Marsh Island toward SR 520 (Visualization Location 16).....	5-74

5.5-8	Looking Northwest from Edgewater Apartments toward SR 520 West Approach and Husky Stadium (Visualization Location 18).....	5-76
5.6-1	Effects on Historic Properties within the I-5 Area, All Options.....	5-85
5.6-2	Effects on NOAA Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Option A.....	5-86
5.6-3	Effects on Historic Properties within the Montlake Area, Options A and K.....	5-87
5.6-4	Effects on Historic Properties within the Montlake Area, Option L.....	5-88
5.6-5	Effects on the Montlake Bridge and on 2111 East Shelby Street, Option A.....	5-89
5.6-6	Effects on Lake Washington Boulevard, Option A Suboptions.....	5-90
5.6-7	Effects on 2158 and 2159 East Shelby Street, Option L.....	5-94
5.6-8	Effects on Pedestrian Overcrossings, Option L Suboptions.....	5-94
5.6-9	Effects on Foster Island, All Options.....	5-95
5.6-10	Effects on Foster Island, Option K.....	5-97
5.6-11	Historic Properties within the Eastside Transition Area, All Options.....	5-99
5.7-1	Noise Modeling Results for Receivers - Without Noise Walls - Seattle - (2030).....	5-103
5.7-2	Noise Modeling Results for Receivers - Without Noise Walls - Eastside - (2030).....	5-104
5.7-3	Noise Modeling Results for Receivers - Noise Walls - Seattle - (2030).....	5-106
5.7-4	Noise Modeling Results for Receivers - With Sound Walls - Eastside - (2030).....	5-107
5.8-1	Intersections Evaluated for CO.....	5-112
5.9-1	Weekday Peak Period Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	5-117
5.10-1	Proposed Stormwater Facilities in Seattle Project Area.....	5-120
5.10-2	Stormwater Lagoons on the Floating Bridge.....	5-122
5.11-1	Permanent Effects on Wetlands and Buffers in Portage Bay.....	5-128
5.11-2	Permanent Fill and Clearing Effects on Wetlands and Buffers in Lake Washington.....	5-129
5.11-3	Permanent Shading Effects on Wetlands and Buffers in Lake Washington.....	5-130
5.12-1	Option K SPUI.....	5-148
5.14-1	Navigational Clearance with the Project.....	5-152
6.1-1	Road Closures.....	6-2
6.1-2	Closure of NE Pacific Street under Options K and L.....	6-4
6.1-3	Potential Haul Routes by Project Component.....	6-6
6.2-1	Property Affected by Construction in the I-5 Area.....	6-20
6.2-2	Property Affected by Construction in the Portage Bay Area.....	6-20
6.2-3	Property Affected by Construction in the Montlake Area.....	6-21
6.2-4	Property Affected by Construction in the West Approach Area.....	6-22
6.3-1	Community Resources Relative to Construction Staging Areas.....	6-28
6.4-1	Construction Effects on Parks.....	6-39
6.4-2	Construction Effects on Bagley Viewpoint.....	6-40
6.4-3	Construction Effects on Montlake Playfield.....	6-41
6.4-4	Construction Effects on East Montlake Park, McCurdy Park, and UW Open Space.....	6-43

6.4-5	Construction Effects on Washington Park Arboretum.....	6-47
6.7-1	Hourly Maximum Construction Noise for Different Distances from Construction Site.....	6-68
6.7-2	Typical Maximum Pile-Driving Noise Levels Assuming 105 dB at 50 Feet.....	6-69
6.7-3	Pile Driving Noise versus Distance	6-70
6.9-1	Project Construction GHG Emission Comparison.....	6-75
6.11-1	Construction Effects on Wetlands and Buffers in Portage Bay.....	6-82
6.11-2	Construction Fill and Clearing Effects on Wetlands and Buffers in Lake Washington.....	6-83
6.11-3	Construction Shading Effects on Wetlands and Buffers in Lake Washington.....	6-84
7-1	Indirect and Cumulative Effects Study Area.....	7-6
7-2	Resource-Specific Study Areas for Indirect and Cumulative Effects.....	7-7
7-3	Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	7-10
7.4a	Seattle Land Use.....	7-11
7-4b	Mercer Island Land Use.....	7-12
7-4c	Bellevue Land Use	7-13
7-4d	Redmond Land Use.....	7-14
7-5a	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions – Transportation.....	7-15
7-5b	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions – Transportation.....	7-16

