

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	s-1
Background	s-1
What Is the SR 167 Extension Project?	s-2
Who Is Leading the Project?	s-2
Why Is the Project Needed?	s-2
What Are the Major Features of the SR 167 Project?	s-5
<i>Limited Access Divided Highway</i>	<i>s-5</i>
<i>Freeway-to-Freeway Connections</i>	<i>s-6</i>
<i>Local Access Interchanges</i>	<i>s-7</i>
<i>Other Transportation Facilities</i>	<i>s-8</i>
<i>Riparian Restoration Proposal</i>	<i>s-9</i>
Who Participated In Developing the Final EIS?	s-11
How Was the Preferred Alternative Developed?	s-13
<i>Engineering Design Criteria</i>	<i>s-13</i>
<i>Value Engineering Study for I-5 Interchange</i>	<i>s-13</i>
<i>Design Options at Local Access Interchanges</i>	<i>s-13</i>
<i>Environmental Screening Criteria and Ranking</i>	<i>s-14</i>
<i>Agency Feedback and Concurrence</i>	<i>s-14</i>
What Has Changed In the Final EIS?	s-14
What If the SR 167 Extension Is Not Built?	s-16
What Environmental Effects Are Expected?	s-17
<i>Water Resources and Wetlands</i>	<i>s-17</i>
<i>Fish and Wildlife</i>	<i>s-18</i>
<i>Land Use and Property Owners</i>	<i>s-18</i>
<i>Transportation and Public Services</i>	<i>s-19</i>
<i>Other Effects</i>	<i>s-19</i>
Are There Cumulative Effects of the SR 167 Extension?	s-20
What Are the Environmental Benefits of the Project?	s-21
What Mitigation Is Proposed?	s-22
What Happens Next?	s-23
<i>Record of Decision</i>	<i>s-23</i>
<i>Engineering Design</i>	<i>s-24</i>
<i>Property Acquisition</i>	<i>s-24</i>
<i>Phased Construction</i>	<i>s-24</i>
<i>Post-Construction Monitoring and Maintenance</i>	<i>s-24</i>
How Can I Learn More?	s-25

Chapter 1	Introduction	1-1
1.1	<i>Purpose and Need</i>	<i>1-1</i>
1.1.1	Purpose	1-1
1.1.2	Need	1-1
1.1.3	Clarification of the Purpose and Need for Tier II.....	1-2
1.2	<i>Vicinity Description.....</i>	<i>1-6</i>
1.3	<i>History of the Project</i>	<i>1-8</i>
1.3.1	Previous Planning.....	1-8
1.3.2	Tier I EIS Process.....	1-11
1.3.3	Tier II Environmental Issues	1-18
1.4	<i>FHWA, WSDOT, Interagency, and Community Coordination.....</i>	<i>1-21</i>
1.4.1	FHWA and WSDOT Interdisciplinary Coordination	1-21
1.4.2	Interagency Coordination and NEPA/SEPA/404 Merger Agreement.....	1-32
1.4.3	Tribal Coordination	1-37
Chapter 2	Alternatives/Options Including the Preferred Alternative	2-1
2.1	<i>Introduction.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.2	<i>Process to Form the Alternatives and Options.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.1	Mainline	2-2
2.2.2	Interchange Options	2-4
2.3	<i>Design Evaluation and Selection Criteria.....</i>	<i>2-4</i>
2.3.1	Technical Design Requirement	2-5
2.3.2	Environmental Protection Requirement	2-9
2.4	<i>Description of Interchange Options Considered But Rejected.....</i>	<i>2-11</i>
2.4.1	SR 509/SR 167 Interchange Options.....	2-11
2.4.2	54th Avenue East Full Interchange Option	2-11
2.4.3	I-5 Interchange Options.....	2-11
2.4.4	No Valley Avenue Interchange Option	2-12
2.4.5	Overcrossing at Puyallup Recreation Center.....	2-13
2.5	<i>Detailed Description of Alternatives</i>	<i>2-13</i>
2.5.1	No Build Alternative	2-13
2.5.2	Build Alternative	2-14
2.5.3	Interchange Design Options in the Build Alternative.....	2-24
2.6	<i>Preferred Alternative and Options</i>	<i>2-30</i>
2.6.1	Build Alternative (Preferred).....	2-30
2.6.2	Selection Process for Preferred Options.....	2-31
2.6.3	Preferred 54th Avenue East Interchange Option.....	2-32
2.6.4	Preferred Valley Avenue Interchange Option	2-33
2.6.5	Preferred SR 161/SR 167 Interchange Option	2-38
2.6.6	Conclusion.....	2-40
Chapter 3	Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	3-1
3.0	<i>Introduction to Chapter 3.....</i>	<i>3-1</i>
3.1	<i>Study Area</i>	<i>3-7</i>
3.1.1	Direct Impact Area.....	3-7
3.1.2	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts.....	3-7
3.1.3	Project Setting	3-12
3.2	<i>Water Resources (Waterways, Hydrology, Water Quality, Hydrogeology, and Floodplains).....</i>	<i>3-17</i>
3.2.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-17
3.2.2	Affected Environment	3-21
3.2.3	Stormwater Treatment and Riparian Restoration Proposal	3-44
3.2.4	Impacts of Construction	3-50

3.2.5	Impacts of Operation.....	3-61
3.2.6	Indirect Impacts	3-77
3.2.7	Cumulative Impacts	3-79
3.2.8	Hydrology and Water Quality Permits Requirements.....	3-84
3.2.9	Mitigating Measures	3-86
3.3	<i>Wetlands</i>	3-89
3.3.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-92
3.3.2	Affected Environment.....	3-93
3.3.3	Impacts of Construction	3-103
3.3.4	Impacts of Operation.....	3-106
3.3.5	Indirect Wetland Impacts	3-107
3.3.6	Cumulative Wetland Impacts.....	3-110
3.3.7	Mitigation Measures	3-112
3.3.8	Benefits of the Riparian Restoration Proposal	3-119
3.4	<i>Wildlife, Fish, and Threatened and Endangered Species</i>	3-123
3.4.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-123
3.4.2	Affected Environment.....	3-125
3.4.3	Impacts of Construction	3-143
3.4.4	Impacts of Operation.....	3-168
3.4.5	Screening Criteria Analysis.....	3-174
3.4.6	Regulations and Permit Requirements	3-175
3.4.7	Indirect Impacts	3-176
3.4.8	Cumulative Impacts	3-179
3.4.9	Determination of Effects on Threatened and Endangered Species	3-186
3.4.10	Mitigating Measures	3-190
3.5	<i>Air Quality</i>	3-193
3.5.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-193
3.5.2	Affected Environment.....	3-194
3.5.3	Impacts of Construction	3-196
3.5.4	Impacts of Operation.....	3-197
3.5.5	Cumulative Impacts	3-199
3.5.6	Mobile Source Air Toxic (MSAT) Emissions	3-199
3.5.7	Mitigation Measures	3-205
3.6	<i>Noise</i>	3-209
3.6.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-209
3.6.2	Affected Environment.....	3-211
3.6.3	Impacts of Construction	3-212
3.6.4	Impacts of Operation.....	3-214
3.6.5	Cumulative Impacts	3-217
3.6.6	Mitigating Measures	3-217
3.7	<i>Energy</i>	3-223
3.7.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-223
3.7.2	Affected Environment.....	3-224
3.7.3	Impacts of Construction	3-225
3.7.4	Impacts of Operation.....	3-226
3.7.5	Cumulative Impacts	3-228
3.7.6	Mitigation Measures	3-228
3.8	<i>Hazardous Materials</i>	3-229
3.8.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-229
3.8.2	Affected Environment.....	3-230
3.8.3	Impacts of Construction	3-233
3.8.4	Impacts of Operation.....	3-238
3.8.5	Cumulative Impacts	3-239
3.8.6	Mitigating Measures	3-239

3.9	<i>Visual Quality</i>	3-243
3.9.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-243
3.9.2	Affected Environment	3-245
3.9.3	Impacts of Operation	3-247
3.9.4	Mitigating Measures.....	3-257
3.10	<i>Public Services and Utilities</i>	3-259
3.10.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-259
3.10.2	Affected Environment	3-259
3.10.3	Impacts of Construction	3-273
3.10.4	Impacts of Operation	3-279
3.10.5	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-280
3.10.6	Mitigating Measures.....	3-280
3.11	<i>Land Use, Socioeconomics, and Environmental Justice</i>	3-281
3.11.1	Land Use	3-282
3.11.2	Socioeconomics.....	3-295
3.11.3	Environmental Justice	3-307
3.11.4	Indirect Effects	3-322
3.11.5	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-322
3.11.6	Mitigating Measures.....	3-323
3.12	<i>Farmland</i>	3-325
3.12.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-325
3.12.2	Affected Environment	3-326
3.12.3	Direct Impacts	3-329
3.12.4	Indirect Impacts.....	3-332
3.12.5	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-333
3.12.6	Mitigating Measures.....	3-334
3.13	<i>Displacement, Disruption, and Relocation</i>	3-337
3.13.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-337
3.13.2	Affected Environment	3-338
3.13.3	Impacts of Construction and Operation.....	3-338
3.13.4	Mitigating Measures.....	3-346
3.14	<i>Transportation</i>	3-349
3.14.1	Studies Performed and Coordination.....	3-349
3.14.2	Affected Environment	3-351
3.14.3	Impacts of Operation	3-365
3.14.4	Mitigating Measures.....	3-378
3.15	<i>Pedestrian and Bike Facilities</i>	3-385
3.15.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-385
3.15.2	Affected Environment	3-386
3.15.3	Impacts of Construction	3-391
3.15.4	Impacts of Operation	3-392
3.15.5	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-396
3.15.6	Mitigating Measures.....	3-397
3.16	<i>Cultural Resources</i>	3-399
3.16.1	Studies Performed and Coordination Conducted	3-399
3.16.2	Affected Environment	3-400
3.16.3	Impacts of Construction	3-402
3.16.4	Impacts of Operation	3-404
3.16.5	Indirect Impacts.....	3-404
3.16.6	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-405
3.16.7	Mitigating Measures.....	3-405
3.17	<i>Cumulative Impacts</i>	3-407
3.17.1	Critical Resources	3-407

3.17.2	Net Environmental Benefits Analysis	3-411
3.18	<i>Relationship Between Short-term Uses of the Environment and Long-term Productivity</i>	3-415
3.19	<i>Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources</i>	3-417
Chapter 4	Section 404(b)(1) Alternatives Analysis	4-1
4.1	<i>Aquatic Resource Impacts and Mitigation</i>	4-1
4.1.1	Stream Impacts	4-1
4.1.2	Wetland Impacts	4-2
4.1.3	Tier I Wetland Analysis	4-2
4.2	<i>Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA)</i>	4-6
4.2.1	Preliminary Design Avoidance and Minimization	4-6
4.2.2	Mainline Avoidance and Minimization	4-7
4.2.3	Interchange Options Avoidance and Minimization	4-8
4.2.4	Future Avoidance and Minimization Opportunities	4-10
4.2.5	Beneficial Aspects of the Project	4-10
4.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	4-12
Chapter 5	Section 4(f) Evaluation	5-1
5.1	<i>Introduction</i>	5-1
5.1.1	Section 4(f) Resources	5-1
5.1.2	Section 6(f) Resources	5-5
5.2	<i>Description of the Proposed Action</i>	5-5
5.2.1	Project Background	5-5
5.2.2	Tier I FEIS and Record of Decision	5-7
5.2.3	Tier II DEIS	5-7
5.2.4	Purpose and Need	5-8
5.3	<i>Alternatives and Options</i>	5-8
5.3.1	No Build Alternative	5-9
5.3.2	Build Alternative	5-9
5.4	<i>Description of Section 4(f) Resources</i>	5-12
5.4.1	Historic Resources	5-12
5.4.2	Recreational Resources	5-18
5.5	<i>Other Park, Recreational Facilities, Wildlife Refuges, and Historic Properties Evaluated Relative to the Requirements of Section 4(f)</i>	5-24
5.6	<i>Description of Use</i>	5-25
5.6.1	Historic Resources	5-25
5.6.2	Recreational Resources	5-26
5.7	<i>Avoidance Alternatives</i>	5-28
5.7.1	No Build Alternative	5-28
5.7.2	Tier I	5-29
5.7.3	Tier II	5-35
5.8	<i>Measures to Minimize Harm</i>	5-41
5.8.1	Historic Resources	5-41
5.8.2	Recreational Resources	5-41
5.9	<i>Coordination</i>	5-43
5.10	<i>Conclusion</i>	5-44

List of Tables

Table 1-1: Congestion Delays for MIS Alternatives.....	1-12
Table 1-2: Tier I Commitments and Mitigations	1-13
Table 1-3: VE Study Recommendations and Responses	1-27
Table 1-4: Environmental Permits and Approvals That Will Be Obtained for the SR 167 Build Alternative.....	1-39
Table 2-1: Mainline Segments and Limits.....	2-2
Table 2-2: General Design Criteria.....	2-5
Table 2-3: Specific Design Criteria	2-6
Table 2-4: Environmental Screening Criteria	2-10
Table 2-5: Puyallup Valley Delay Times.....	2-13
Table 2-6: Structure Work (total number) Over Water Bodies in Project Area.....	2-31
Table 2-7: Summary of Scores for Design Options at the 54th Avenue East Interchange	2-33
Table 2-8: Summary of Scores for Design Options at the Valley Avenue Interchange.....	2-34
Table 2-9: Valley Avenue Interchange Priority Factors	2-35
Table 2-10: Summary of Scores for Design Options at the SR 161/SR 167 Interchange.....	2-39
Table 3.0-1: Matrix of Environmental Impacts	3-2
Table 3.2-1: Comparison of Freshwater Water Quality Standards	3-22
Table 3.2-2: Summary of 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies.....	3-23
Table 3.2-3: Number of Samples Exceeding Federal Recommendations (F), State Standards (S) and Basin Specific Thresholds (BS) in Hylebos Creek. (Adapted from King County 1990).....	3-30
Table 3.2-4: Average and Range of Key Highway Related Pollutants Measured in Untreated Stormwater at High Traffic Sites	3-48
Table 3.2-5: Percent Pollutant Removal Efficiencies (Median and Standard Deviations) of Different Stormwater Treatment Systems	3-49
Table 3.2-6: Hylebos Basin Impacts Associated with Roadway Construction	3-54
Table 3.2-7: Summary of Stream and Buffer Construction Impact Areas in the Hylebos Basin.....	3-56
Table 3.2-8: Wapato Basin Impact Areas Associated with Roadway Construction	3-58
Table 3.2-9: Puyallup Basin Impact Area Associated with Roadway Construction.....	3-59
Table 3.2-10: Current and Future Land Use (%) for Hylebos and Wapato Basins.....	3-64
Table 3.2-11: Current and Future Percent Impervious Surface in the Basins.....	3-64
Table 3.2-12: Acres of Floodplain Impacts from Mainline and Different Interchange Options using Three Estimating Methods.....	3-66
Table 3.2-13: Estimated Median Annual Pollutant Loads (kg/yr) from SR 167 after Stormwater Treatment of Highway Runoff.....	3-67
Table 3.2-14: Treated SR 167 Runoff as a Percent of Total Estimated Pollutant Loads from All Existing Land Uses.....	3-68
Table 3.2-15: Predicted Percent Change in Median Annual Pollutant Loads Generated Under Existing and Future Land Use (with SR 167) Conditions (negative numbers indicate a decrease)	3-81
Table 3.2-16: Predicted Percent Change in Median Annual Pollutant Loads Discharged Under Existing and Future Land Use (with treated SR 167) Conditions (negative numbers indicate a decrease).....	3-81
Table 3.2-17: Percent of Future Pollutant Loading Attributed to SR 167	3-83
Table 3.3-1: Wetland Classes and Ratings for Hylebos Basin.....	3-95
Table 3.3-2: Wetland Classes and Ratings for Wapato Basin.....	3-98
Table 3.3-3: Wetland Classes and Ratings for Lower Puyallup Basin	3-98
Table 3.3-4: Temporary Wetland Impacts	3-104
Table 3.3-5: Range of Permanent Wetland Impacts	3-105
Table 3.3-6: Wetland Impacts by Category for the Build Alternative	3-106
Table 3.3-7: Range of Wetland Buffer Impacts for the SR 167 Extension Project.....	3-106
Table 3.3-8: Applicable Mitigation Ratios (from Implementing Agreement [WSDOT and Ecology 1993]).....	3-113
Table 3.3-9: Potential Wetland Mitigation Sites Identified for the SR 167 Extension Project.....	3-118
Table 3.3-10: Riparian Restoration Proposal Areas by Stream	3-119
Table 3.4-1: EFH Categories That May Occur Within the Action Area.....	3-132
Table 3.4-2: Chinook Salmon Observed in the Hylebos System in 2000.....	3-136
Table 3.4-3: Wildlife Habitat Impacts of Projects and Options (acres)	3-155
Table 3.4-4: Temporary Habitat Impact (acres).....	3-156
Table 3.4-5: Crossing Structures Associated with Wapato Creek	3-163

Table 3.4-6: Primary Salmonid Effects Summary for all Affected Locations.....	3-165
Table 3.4-7: Primary Wildlife Effects Summary for all Project Locations	3-167
Table 3.4-8: Listings for ESA Species and Critical Habitat within the Action Area.....	3-174
Table 3.4-9: Environmental Screening Scores for Habitat (Weighted Impacts [acres]).....	3-175
Table 3.4-10: Determination of Effects on Threatened and Endangered Species	3-186
Table 3.5-1: Summary of Ambient Air Quality Standards	3-194
Table 3.5-2: Project Conformity Criteria and Responses	3-198
Table 3.5-3: Maximum One-Hour Average CO Concentrations.....	3-199
Table 3.5-4: Maximum Eight-Hour Average CO Concentrations.....	3-199
Table 3.6-1: Typical Noise Levels	3-211
Table 3.6-2: Typical Construction Equipment Noise Level (dBA) at 50 feet	3-213
Table 3.6-3: Pierce County Maximum Permissible Sound Levels (dBA).....	3-213
Table 3.6-4: FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria.....	3-215
Table 3.6-5: Revised Noise Modeling Results at Measured Sites	3-215
Table 3.6-6: Revised Noise Modeling Results at Modeled Sites.....	3-216
Table 3.6-7: Revised Summary and Basis of Not-Feasible Noise Walls.....	3-220
Table 3.6-8: Revised Summary of Feasible and Not-Reasonable Noise Walls	3-220
Table 3.7-1: Number of Vehicles in 2015 for Proposed Project.....	3-227
Table 3.7-2: Number of Vehicles in 2030 for the Proposed Project.....	3-227
Table 3.8-1: Substantially Contaminated Properties	3-230
Table 3.8-2: Reasonably Predictable Properties	3-232
Table 3.9-1: Visual Quality Ratings for Key Views under Existing (E) and Proposed (P) Conditions.....	3-247
Table 3.11-1: Right-of-Way Acquisition (Acres).....	3-288
Table 3.11-2: Impacts on Tribal Trust Lands (For Screening Criteria).....	3-290
Table 3.11-3: Riparian Restoration Proposal Land Acquisition (Acres).....	3-291
Table 3.11-4: Current and Projected Employment by Industry Sector.....	3-298
Table 3.11-5: Study Area Community Profile.....	3-301
Table 3.11-6: Race/Ethnicity Percentages by Block Groups.....	3-309
Table 3.11-7: Study Area Community Profile (Environmental Justice).....	3-312
Table 3.11-8: Affected Environmental Justice Populations by Block-Groups	3-318
Table 3.12-1: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating	3-327
Table 3.12-2: Impacted Farmland (acres).....	3-331
Table 3.13-1: Summary of Displacements (Preferred Alternative)*	3-339
Table 3.13-2: Summary of Riparian Restoration Proposal Displacements (Preferred Alternative Only)	3-344
Table 3.14-1: Study Area Intersections - Level of Service.....	3-359
Table 3.14-2: I-5 Peak Hour Level of Service.....	3-366
Table 3.14-3: SR 167 Peak Hour Level of Service.....	3-373
Table 3.14-4: City of Fife Truck Travel Time Improvements.....	3-376
Table 3.14-5: Identified Traffic Mitigation Areas	3-379
Table 3.15-1: WSDOT Bicycle Facility Classification Descriptions	3-386
Table 3.16-1: Cultural Resources Potentially Affected	3-403
Table 3.16-2: Cultural Resource Screening Scores for Interchange Options	3-404
Table 3.17-1: Anticipated Cumulative Impacts Compared	3-408
Table 4-1: Structure Work (total number) Over Water Bodies	4-1
Table 4-2: SR 167 Wetland Impacts by Category	4-2
Table 4-3: Wetland Impacts per Segment	4-5
Table 4-4: Revised Estimated Tier I Corridor Wetland Impacts	4-6
Table 4-5: Comparison of Tier I and Tier II Wetland Impacts Between SR 509 and I-5.....	4-7
Table 4-6: Existing Wetlands Enhanced by Riparian Restoration Proposal.....	4-12
Table 5-1: Historic Resources Eligible for the NRHP.....	5-13
Table 5-2: 4(f) Use – Historic Resources Eligible for the NRHP.....	5-25
Table 5-3: Section 4(f) Use – Recreational Resources Eligible for 4(f) Protection.....	5-26
Table 5-4: Revised Estimated Tier I Corridor Wetland Impacts	5-33

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Vicinity Map	1-7
Figure 1-2: Regional Highway Network.....	1-10
Figure 2-1: Major Project Features	2-3
Figure 2-2: 54th Avenue Interchange – Loop Option (Preferred)	2-16
Figure 2-3: SR 167 on Fill between 54th Avenue East and 12th Street East.....	2-17
Figure 2-4: SR 167 on Structure between 12th Street East and I-5 Interchange.....	2-17
Figure 2-5: I-5 Interchange	2-18
Figure 2-6: Valley Avenue Interchange – Valley Avenue Option (Preferred)	2-20
Figure 2-7: SR 161 Interchange – Urban Option (Preferred).....	2-22
Figure 2-8: SR 167 on Fill between Valley Avenue Interchange and SR 161.....	2-23
Figure 2-9: Cross Section of the Puyallup River Bridge (North Meridian)	2-23
Figure 2-10: Preliminary Profile of Puyallup River Bridge (North Meridian)	2-24
Figure 2-11: 54th Avenue Interchange – Half Diamond Option	2-25
Figure 2-12: Valley Avenue Interchange – Other Options – Freeman Road.....	2-27
Figure 2-13: SR 161 Interchange – Other Options – Low Diamond	2-29
Figure 2-14: Impervious Surface for Valley Avenue Interchange Options	2-37
Figure 3.1-1: Composit Study Area.....	3-8
Figure 3.1-2: Soils in the Project Area.....	3-14
Figure 3.2-1: Surface Water Resources, Monitoring Sites, and 303(d) Listed Waterbodies	3-24
Figure 3.2-2: Floodplain for Hylebos Basis.....	3-28
Figure 3.2-3: Floodplain for Wapato and Lower Puyallup Basins	3-35
Figure 3.2-4: Region-wide Flooding and Levee Failure Event.....	3-39
Figure 3.2-5: Group A & B Public Water Supply Systems	3-42
Figure 3.2-6: Modeled Hylebos Creek 100-Year Floodplains for Future Conditions With and Without SR 167 Project.....	3-82
Figure 3.3-1: Hylebos Creek Basin Wetland Impacts.....	3-96
Figure 3.3-2: Wapato Creek and Lower Puyallup River Basin Wetland Impacts.....	3-99
Figure 3.3-3: Potential Wetland Mitigation Sites	3-117
Figure 3.4-1: Fish Passage Barriers	3-139
Figure 3.4-2: Wildlife Habitat Impact 54th Avenue East Interchange Loop Ramp Option (Preferred)	3-144
Figure 3.4-3: Wildlife Habitat Impact 54th Avenue East Interchange Half Diamond Option.....	3-145
Figure 3.4-4: Wildlife Habitat Impact I-5 Interchange	3-146
Figure 3.4-5: Wildlife Habitat Impact Valley Avenue Interchange Valley Avenue Option (Preferred).....	3-147
Figure 3.4-6: Wildlife Habitat Impact Valley Avenue Interchange Freeman Road Option.....	3-148
Figure 3.4-7: Wildlife Habitat Impact Valley Avenue Interchange Valley Avenue Realignment Option.....	3-149
Figure 3.4-8: Wildlife Habitat Impact between Valley Avenue Interchange and SR 161/SR 167 Interchange....	3-150
Figure 3.4-9: Wildlife Habitat Impact SR 161/SR 167 Interchange Urban Option (Preferred).....	3-151
Figure 3.4-10: Wildlife Habitat Impacts SR 161/SR 167 Interchange Low Diamond Option	3-152
Figure 3.4-11: Wildlife Habitat Impact SR 161/SR 167 Interchange Medium Diamond Option.....	3-153
Figure 3.4-12: Wildlife Connectivity.....	3-157
Figure 3.4-13: Potential Connectivity Barriers Valley Avenue Interchange	3-177
Figure 3.6-1: Noise Measurements and Modeling Locations	3-210
Figure 3.6-2: Noise Wall Modeling Locations	3-221
Figure 3.8-1: Hazardous Materials Locations.....	3-231
Figure 3.9-1: Landscape Units and Views	3-244
Figure 3.9-2: View 1, LU1: Vicinity of Alexander Road and State Route 167/509 Interchange	3-249
Figure 3.9-3: View 2, LU1: Corner of 54th Avenue East and 4th Street, Looking South	3-251
Figure 3.9-4: View 1, LU2: Pacific Avenue (SR99), Looking East	3-253
Figure 3.9-5: View 1, LU3: Freeman Road East (Behind Old Firwood Gym), Looking SW.....	3-255
Figure 3.9-6: View 1, LU4: North Meridian, Looking North.....	3-258
Figure 3.10-1: School Locations and District Boundaries	3-260
Figure 3.10-2: Fire District Boundaries and Facilities.....	3-262
Figure 3.10-3: Parks and Recreational Areas.....	3-264
Figure 3.10-4: Major Electric Power Lines	3-266
Figure 3.10-5: Major Gas/Oil Lines.....	3-267

Figure 3.10-6: Major Telecommunications Facilities.....	3-268
Figure 3.10-7: Major Water Distribution Lines.....	3-270
Figure 3.10-8: Major Sewer Lines.....	3-271
Figure 3.10-9: Major Stormwater Lines and Major Drainage Ditches	3-272
Figure 3.11-1: Jurisdictional Boundaries.....	3-283
Figure 3.11-2: Project Area Generalized Zoning.....	3-284
Figure 3.11-3: Puyallup Tribal Trust Land.....	3-287
Figure 3.11-4: 2000 Census Tracts Minority Populations	3-310
Figure 3.11-5: 2000 Census Tracts Poverty	3-313
Figure 3.12-1: Actively Farmed Land in the Study Area in 2001	3-328
Figure 3.14-1: Regional Highway System.....	3-352
Figure 3.14-2: Local Roadway System	3-353
Figure 3.14-3: Existing (2000) Average Daily Traffic Volumes (ADT).....	3-356
Figure 3.14-4: Existing (2000) P.M. Peak Hour Level-of-Service.....	3-358
Figure 3.14-5: No Build 2030 Traffic Forecast – Average Daily Traffic Volumes (ADT).....	3-367
Figure 3.14-6: No Build 2030 Traffic Forecast – Directional Design Hourly Volume (DDHV).....	3-368
Figure 3.14-7: Preferred Build Alternative 2030 P.M. Peak Traffic Forecast - Average Daily Traffic Volume (ADT).....	3-371
Figure 3.14-8: Preferred Build Alternative 2030 P.M. Peak Traffic Forecast - Directional Design Hourly Volume (DDHV).....	3-372
Figure 3.14-9: Realignment of 20th Street	3-375
Figure 3.14-10: Truck Routes.....	3-377
Figure 3.15-1: Travel Shed and Trip Generator Sites.....	3-387
Figure 3.15-2: Pedestrian and Bike Routes	3-388
Figure 3.17-1: Population Growth in the Proposed SR 167 Corridor.....	3-409
Figure 3.17-2: Relative Comparison of Ecological Services by Project Scenario.....	3-412
Figure 4-1: Tier I Corridor Alternatives	4-4
Figure 5-1: Vicinity Map.....	5-2
Figure 5-2: Historic 4(f) Resources	5-3
Figure 5-3: Recreational 4(f) Resources.....	5-4
Figure 5-4: Historic and Recreational 4(f) Resources, I-5 Interchange.....	5-16
Figure 5-5: Historic and Recreational 4(f) Resources, Valley Avenue Interchange.....	5-17
Figure 5-6: Planned Lower Hylebos Nature Park.....	5-19
Figure 5-7: Interurban Trail.....	5-22
Figure 5-8: Recreational 4(f) Resources, Puyallup River Bridge.....	5-23
Figure 5-9: Tier I Existing and Proposed Recreational Areas	5-31
Figure 5-10: Current 4(f) Resources, Tier I Corridor Alternatives.....	5-32
Figure 5-11: Tribal Trust Properties.....	5-34
Figure 5-12: I-5 Interchange Constraints.....	5-38
Figure 5-13: Redesign of Surprise Lake Drain and Hylebos Creek Relocation	5-42

Appendices

Appendix A	Figures of the Preferred Alternative
Appendix B	List of Discipline Studies and Preparers
Appendix C	References
Appendix D	Glossary
Appendix E	Circulation List
Appendix F	Tier II Commitments List
Appendix G	Draft EIS Comments and Responses
Appendix H	Section 4(f) Coordination and Correspondence