

Packet B – Stormwater Conveyance System Mapping

State of Washington Department of Transportation

2/22/2023

Proposal Submitted by: Tim Caya <u>tim.caya@aximgeo.com</u> 608.501.7860 Axim Geospatial, LLC 100 QBE Way, Suite 1225 Sun Prairie, WI 53590



Table of Contents

Letter of Transmittal	. 2
Scoring Criteria 6: References/Past Performances (Prime Consultant Only)	. 4
Scoring Criteria 7: Contractor Certification – Workers' Rights (Prime and Sub-	
Consultants)	10
Consultant Information Sheets	11



LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

February 22, 2023

CSOSubmittals@wsdot.wa.gov

Washington State Department of Transportation 310 Maple Park Avenue SE P.O. Box 47300 Olympia, WA 98504-7300

To Whom it May Concern:

The Washington State Department of Ecology has identified Stormwater runoff as the leading threat to Washington's urban waters, streambeds, banks, and habitats. The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) maintains an extensive stormwater conveyance system to prevent untreated runoff from paved streets and roadways from entering the local wetlands and waterways. In order to properly balance the need for continued roadway development with protecting the natural environment the DOT has identified the need to rapidly update and expand its existing stormwater conveyance system asset inventory. The importance of this highly visible project is recognized by the entire Axim Team including our key leaders who take pride in supporting state agencies in protecting the vital environmental resources of the communities they serve. Axim Geospatial, LLC (Axim) is pleased to submit our proposal for the 2023 Stormwater Conveyance System Mapping project.

Why Select the Axim Team?

- A Trusted Partner of State DOTs with Proven Results: Axim has a history of over 24 years of working in partnership with the State DOTs, culminating in the successful execution of over 200 projects for our State DOT partners.
- Technical Qualifications: Axim has demonstrated technical experience supporting Government agencies manage their water Utility Networks (UN). Axim is actively implementing the UN for the City of Houston for water, wastewater, and stormwater. In total, Axim staff have now supported the adoption of the UN for 35 clients and 60 systems, e.g., water, wastewater, stormwater, reclaimed water.
- Extensive Field Survey / Mapping Experience: Axim has over 24 years of experience providing field survey and mapping services to State DOTs. The Axim team is licensed across 8 states, including Washington, and has performed field work in over 25 states.
- **Most Qualified:** This is a comprehensive asset management project encompassing data acquisition, extraction, and delivering data formatted for an existing asset management system which aligns perfectly with Axim's end-to-end capabilities. Axim has been providing premier GIS services for over 30 years. Unlike many A/E firms, our core business is everything GIS. We were one of the first services partners to earn Esri Platinum business partner status and hold numerous specialty designations. Our commitment to staying current with GIS will only benefit your organization with unmatched GIS services.



1.888.815.3327 100 QBE Way, Suite 1225 Sun Prairie, WI 53590 aximgeo.com

The Axim Team looks forward to your favorable review of our proposal and the opportunity to further present our qualifications in a short list interview. Please contact me if you would like additional information.

Sincerely,

1:

Tim Caya VP of Business Development – State Government, Axim Geospatial tim.caya@aximgeo.com





SCORING CRITERIA 6: REFERENCES/PAST PERFORMANCES (PRIME CONSULTANT ONLY)

A. Include the following items:

Provide a minimum of three (3) with a maximum of five (5) performance evaluations for either WSDOT projects, Non-WSDOT projects, or a combination of both that are either currently active projects or that has a project completion date within the last three (3) years. These must be included in your Packet B.

Performance Evaluations on WSDOT projects:

If you wish to have a Performance Evaluation completed on a WSDOT project, please contact the WSDOT project manager and have them complete WSDOT's internal Filemaker Pro form 272-019 "Performance Evaluations – Consultant Services."

Performance Evaluations for Non-WSDOT projects:

If your firm does not have performance evaluations with WSDOT, it is necessary to have an evaluation of past performance completed by a client. You are required to use the WSDOT provided form and have it completed by your client. We will not accept your client version of a performance evaluation form. The WSDOT version of the evaluation form may be obtained by clicking on the link "Performance Evaluation Completed by Reference" which can be found on the main web page for this advertisement.

Axim has provided 5 performance evaluations for 5 different projects that have been completed in the last three years or are currently ongoing. These performance evaluations are for the following 5 clients:

- 1. STARR II JV/FEMA
- 2. Wisconsin Department of Transportation
- 3. Minnesota Department of Transportation
- 4. Port of New Orleans
- 5. The Broadband Group TBG

Please see below for each performance evaluation. Axim has also received confirmation from all client contacts that the form has been sent to wsdotcso@wsdot.wa.gov as instructed.



Performance Evaluation Completed by Reference

Jossi Manager basis Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being performed.) City of Ridgecrest, CA - Field Survey yee of Work:		
noject Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being performed.) Try of Ridgecrest, CA - Field Survey year of Work: Right-of-Wey Right-of-We	Consultant Name: Axim Geospatial, LLC.	
noject Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being performed.) Try of Ridgecrest, CA - Field Survey year of Work: Right-of-Wey Right-of-We		
City of Ridgecrest, CA - Field Survey Transportation Study Right-of-Way Image: Contract Information: Image:		4.1
Image: Start Date Intersportation Study Right-of-Way ✓ other Image: Start Date End Date Dollar Amount of Services Sub Start Date 01/27/23 34,920.80 Prime 12/01/22 01/27/23 34,920.80 Performance Evaluation Rating Criteria Score Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high. 1 - Low to 10 - High . Was the firm: complete the project within the total budgeed amount? 10.00 . Did the firm: complete the project within the total budgeed amount? 10.00 . Did the firm: complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm: complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm: complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm: complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm: complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm: complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Was the firm's communication, both and and quality expectations? 10.00 . Was the firm's communication, both and and writter, clear and concise? 10.00	Project Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is cur City of Ridgecrest, CA - Field Survey	ently being performed.)
contract Information: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being performed.) Prime Start Date Dollar Amount of Services Sub 12/01/22 01/27/23 34,920.80 Performance Evaluation Rang Criteria Plense rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high. 1 - Low to 10 - High . Was the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm scommunication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 . Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 . Was the firm's corners by adding the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) Evaluator Information: . Ima Company Name: Evaluator Information: Ima Company Name: . Ima Company Name: Start Daint Venture	Type of Work:	
Prime Start Date Image: Comparison of Services Prime 12/01/22 01/27/23 01/27/23 Performance Evaluation Reformance Evaluation Reformance Evaluation Reformance Evaluation Score Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high. . Was the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? . Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? . Did the firm complete the project within the coatract schedule(i)? . Did the firm complete the project within the coatract schedule(i)? . Did the firm complete the project within the coatract schedule(i)? . Did the firm complete the project within the coatract schedule(i)? . Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? . Did the firm score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) . Evaluator Information: Ima@compan_Mathetics . Did the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.	Roadway Design Plans Specs & Estimates Transportation Study	/ Right-of-Way 🖌 Other
Prime 12/01/22 01/27/23 34,920.80 Performance Evaluation Rating Criteria Score Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high 1 - Low to 10 - High Was the firm coorparative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were 10.00 Old the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10.00 Did the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Verage Score 10.00 Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: ims/Company Name STARR II Joint Venture valuator's Title: Project Director ims/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hence: (480) 538-1545 Fax pare 02/14/23 here: 2014 Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev: 2014	Contract Information: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being	performed.)
Sub 12/01/22 01/27/23 34,920.80 Performance Evaluation Rating Criteria Score Plasse rate each criteria on scole of 1 to 10.1 being low and 10 being high. 1 - Low to 10 - High . Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negoriations whether they were 10.00 . Did the firm cooperative and responsive during any negoriations whether they were 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10.00 . Did the firm seet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10.00 . Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 60.00 . Verage Score 10.00 . Mass the firm's Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture . Valuator's Title: Project Director . Ima Company Name: Sage 1545 Fax . Source Direc02/14/23 Jane 02/14	Start Date End Date	Dollar Amount of Services
Rating Criteria Score Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high. 1 - Low to 10 - High. Was the firm coorperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were undget related or work element related? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10.00 Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Verage Score 10.00 Average Score 10.00 Evaluator's Name: 10.00 Evaluator's Information: 10.00 imu@company Name: Evaluator's Title: Startike Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hence: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date: Date: 02/14/23 Nativation: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	$\overline{7}$ 1 12/01/22 01/27/23	34,920.80
Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high. 1 - Low to 10 - High Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were utget related or work element related? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 . Did the firm spect and and written, clear and concise? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 . Was the firm's project Director Imm Company Name: . STARR II Joint Venture Valuator's Title: Project Director <td>Performance Evaluation</td> <td></td>	Performance Evaluation	
Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were udget related or work element related? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10.00 Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Vas the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 60.00 Warage the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: 10.00 irm.Company Name: Evaluator's Title: Yulantor's Name: Linda Potter Valuator's Name: Evaluator's Title: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014		Score
udget related or work element related? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount? 10.00 Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10.00 Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 total Score 60.00 Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 60.00 Kverage the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: 10.00 irm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture valuator's Name: Linda Potter Irm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hence:(480) 538-1545 Fax: Date:02/14/23 National Consolitant being evaluated; and Rev.2014	Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high.	1 - Low to 10 - High
Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)? 10.00 Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10.00 Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 60.00 Kverage Score 10.00 Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: imm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture Evaluator's Title: Valuator's Name: Evaluator Strike: Origonal: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Name: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date:02/14/23	 Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were budget related or work element related? 	10.00
Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations? 10,00 Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10,00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10,00 Vas the firm's project management system effective? 10,00 Total Score 60,00 Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 60,00 Iverage Score 10,00 Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10,00 Evaluator Information: 10,00 imn/Company Name: Evaluator Information: Valuator's Name: Evaluator's Title: Project Director imn/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hone: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date: 02/14/23 Nistribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	2. Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount?	10.00
Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Was the firm's project management system effective? 10.00 Total Score 60.00 Total Score 60.00 Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 60.00 Average Score 10.00 Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: 10.00 irm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture Valuator's Name: Evaluator's Title: Project Director irm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hone: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date:02/14/23 Date: 0.014/23 Rev. 2014	3. Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)?	10.00
	4. Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations?	10.00
Total Score 60.00 Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 60.00 Average Score 10.00 Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: 10.00 imm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture valuator's Name: Evaluator's Title: Valuator's Name: Evaluator's Title: imm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 fone: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date: 02/14/23 Rev. 2014	5. Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise?	10.00
60.00 Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) Interview of criteria that was rated.) Interview of criteria that was rated.) Interview of criteria that was rated.) Evaluator Information: Interview of criteria that was rated.) Evaluator Information: Interview of criteria that was rated.) Interview of c	6. Was the firm's project management system effective?	10.00
Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.) 10.00 Average Score 10.00 Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: Tirm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture Valuator's Name: Evaluator's Title: Linda Potter Evaluator's Title: Tirm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hone:(480) 538-1545 Fax: Date:02/14/23 Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	Total Score	60.00
Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.) 10.00 Evaluator Information: Evaluator Information: "irm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture "valuator's Name: Evaluator's Title: Linda Potter Evaluator's Title: "irm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hone: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date: 0/14/23 Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	(Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.)	60.00
irm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture Valuator's Name: Linda Potter Linda Potter Evaluator's Title: irm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 hone: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date: 02/14/23 Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	Average Score (Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.)	10.00
Inda Potter Evaluator's Title: Project Director Imm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 tone:(480) 538-1545 Fax: Date:02/14/23 Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	Evaluator Information:	
Tirm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 home: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date:02/14/23 Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	Firm/Company Name: STARR II Joint Venture	
Tirm/Company Address: 3901 Calverton Boulevard, Suite 400, Calverton, MD 20705 home: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date: 02/14/23 Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014		oject Director
Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	Dime (Commence & Adverse)	
Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and Rev. 2014	Phone: (480) 538-1545 Fax: Date:	02/14/23
Copy: Fax to WSDOT at 360-705-6838 or email to wsdotcso@wsdot.wa.gov		
	Copy: Fax to WSDOT at 360-705-6838 or email to wsdotcso@wsdot.wa	a.gov



T

Washington State **Department of Transportation**

Performance Evaluation Completed by Reference

Consultant Name: Axim Geospatial, LLC						
Consultant's Project Manager: Benjamin Leonard						
Project Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being performed.) WO7 6elkcol - 7600-00-02						
Type of Work: Roadway Design Plans Specs & Estimates Transportation Study Right-of-Way Other						
Contract Information: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being performe	d.)					
Start Date End Date	Dollar Amount of Services					
✔ Prime Sub 07/27/22 10/13/22	45,141.24					
Performance Evaluation						
Rating Criteria	Score					
Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high.	1 - Low to 10 - High					
 Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were budget related or work element related? 	10.00					
2. Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount?	10.00					
3. Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)?	10.00					
4. Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations?	10.00					
5. Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise?	10.00					
6. Was the firm's project management system effective?	10.00					
Total Score (Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.)	60.00					

Average Score

(Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.)

10.00

	Evaluator	Information:	
Firm/Company Name: Wisconsin	Department of Transpo	rtation	
Evaluator's Name: Jason Vande	Hey	Evaluator's Title:	Photogrammetry Unit Coordinator
Firm/Company Address: 3502 Kin	ısman Blvd, Madison, W	/I 53704	
Phone:(608) 246-3824	Fax:	Dat	e: 02/15/23
Distribution: Original: Return	n to Consultant being evaluated; a	nd	Rev. 2014
Comus Faw to W	SDOT at 260, 205, 6929 or email to	wedsteen@wedst	AD

Copy: Fax to WSDOT at 360-705-6838 or email to wsdotcso@wsdot.wa.gov



Performance Evaluation Completed by Reference

Consultant Name: Axim Geospatial, LLC	
Consultant's Project Manager: Nathan Kohrmann	
Project Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently bein Innovative Infrastructure Asset Data Collection and Conflation	ng performed.)
Type of Work: Roadway Design Plans Specs & Estimates Transportation Study	Right-of-Way 🚺 Other
Contract Information: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being performe Start Date End Date	d.) Dollar Amount of Services
✔ Prime 07/22/22 12/30/23	3,100,000.00
Performance Evaluation	
Rating Criteria	Score
Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high.	1 - Low to 10 - High
 Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were budget related or work element related? 	10.00
2. Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount?	10.00
3. Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)?	10.00
4. Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations?	10.00
5. Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise?	10.00
6. Was the firm's project management system effective?	10.00
Total Score (Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.)	60.00
A	

Average Score

(Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.)

10.00

Evaluator Information:					
Firm/Company Name: Minnesota	Department of Transpo	rtation			
Evaluator's Name: Trisha Stefan:	ski	Evaluator's Title: Asset Management Office Director			
Firm/Company Address: 395 John	Ireland Blvd, St. Paul M	IN 55155			
Phone: (651) 724-8517	Fax:	Date: 02/16/23			
Distribution: Original: Return	to Consultant being evaluated; ar	d Rev. 201-			
Copy: Fax to WS	DOT at 360-705-6838 or email to	wsdotcso@wsdot.wa.gov			



Performance Evaluation Completed by Reference

Consultant Name: Axim Geospatial, LLC					
Consultant's Project Manager: Carrie Aurit					
Project Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently) Port New Orleans- MGD SVCS and Infrastructure Y1 2023	eing performed.)				
Type of Work: Roadway Design Plans Specs & Estimates Transportation Study	Right-of-Way 🖌 Other				
Contract Information: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being perfor	med.)				
Start Date End Date	Dollar Amount of Services				
✔ Prime 10/26/22 10/25/23	59,600.00				
Performance Evaluation					
Rating Criteria	Score				
Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high.	1 - Low to 10 - High				
 Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were budget related or work element related? 	10.00				
2. Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount?	10.00				
3. Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)?	10.00				
4. Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations?	10.00				
5. Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise?	9.00				
6. Was the firm's project management system effective?	9.00				
Total Score	58.00				
(Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.)					
Average Score (Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.)	9.67				
Evaluator Information:					
Firm/Company Name: Port of New Orleans					
Evaluator's Name: Maggie Cloos Evaluator's Title: GIS M	anager				
Firm/Company Address: 1350 Port of New Orleans					
Phone: (504) 528-3543 Fax: Date: 02/1	5/23				
Distribution: Original: Return to Consultant being evaluated; and	Rev. 2014				
Copy: Fax to WSDOT at 360-705-6838 or email to wsdotcso@wsdot.wa.gov					



Performance Evaluation Completed by Reference

Consultant Name: Axim Geospatial, LLC	
Consultant's Project Manager: Tim Caya	
Project Name to be Evaluated on: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently b Utility Pole Inventory and Application Permit Submittal	eing performed.)
Type of Work: Roadway Design Plans Specs & Estimates Transportation Study	Right-of-Way 🚺 Other
Contract Information: (Work must have been completed within the last 3 years or is currently being perform	ned.) Dollar Amount of Services
Prime 09/08/22 12/31/23	450,000.00
Performance Evaluation	
Rating Criteria Please rate each criteria on a scale of 1 to 10. 1 being low and 10 being high.	Score 1 - Low to 10 - High
I. Was the firm cooperative and responsive during any negotiations whether they were budget related or work element related?	10.00
2. Did the firm complete the project within the total budgeted amount?	10.00
3. Did the firm complete the project within the contract schedule(s)?	10.00
4. Did the firm meet all of your technical standards and quality expectations?	10.00
5. Was the firm's communication, both oral and written, clear and concise?	8.00
6. Was the firm's project management system effective?	9.00
Total Score (Total the score by adding the scores for criterias 1 through 6.)	57.00
Average Score	9.50

(Average the score by dividing the total score by the total number of criteria that was rated.)

9.50

Evaluator Information:				
Firm/Company Name: The Broad	band Group TBG			
Evaluator's Name: Connie Walke	er	Evaluator's Title: Operations Manager		
Firm/Company Address: 900 South	Pavilion Center Dr. S	uite 155, Las Vegas, NV 89144		
Phone:(913) 220-5687	Fax:	Date:02/15/20		
Distribution: Original: Return	to Consultant being evaluated; a	and	Rev. 2014	
Copy: Fax to WS	DOT at 360-705-6838 or email t	o wsdotcso@wsdot.wa.gov		



SCORING CRITERIA 7: CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION – WORKERS' RIGHTS (PRIME AND SUB-CONSULTANTS)

A. Include the following items:

Contractor Certification - Workers' Rights.

• Please fill out the form titled "Contractor Certification - Workers' Rights" linked on the advertisement webpage. The form can be found under the heading "Submit Required Forms."

CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION EXECUTIVE ORDER 18-03 – WORKERS' RIGHTS WASHINGTON STATE GOODS & SERVICES CONTRACTS

Pursuant to the Washington State Governor's Executive Order 18-03 (dated June 12, 2018), the Washington State Department of Transportaion is seeking to contract with qualified entities and business owners who certify that their employees are not, as a condition of employment, subject to mandatory individual arbitration clauses and class or collective action waivers.

Solicitation Title: Stormwater Conveyance System Mapping

I hereby certify, on behalf of the firm identified below, as follows (check one):

No MANDATORY INDIVIDUAL ARBITRATION CLAUSES AND CLASS OR COLLECTIVE ACTION WAIVERS FOR EMPLOYEES. This firm does <u>NOT</u> require its employees, as a condition of employment, to sign or agree to mandatory individual arbitration clauses or class or collective action waivers.

OR

MANDATORY INDIVIDUAL ARBITRATION CLAUSES AND CLASS OR COLLECTIVE ACTION WAIVERS FOR EMPLOYEES. This firm requires its employees, as a condition of employment, to sign or agree to mandatory individual arbitration clauses or class or collective action waivers.

I hereby certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that the certifications herein are true and correct and that I am authorized to make these certifications on behalf of the firm listed herein.

FIRM N	Axim Geospatial, LLC		
	Name of Contractor/Bidder – Print full leg	gal entity na	me of firm
By:	Kent Blanchard	Kent	Blanchard
	Signature of authorized person	Print Na	me of person making certifications for firm
Title:	Vice President of Contracts & Legal	Place:	Littleto, CO
	Title of person signing certificate		Print city and state where signed
Date:	2/16/2023		



CONSULTANT INFORMATION SHEETS

Axim Consultant Information Sheet:

Consultant Information Form

Firm Name:			Number of Employees:				
Axim Geospatia		1	2/31		340		
Address:							
100 QBE Way, \$	Suit	e 1225					
City:	State:		Zip Code:			County	
Sun Prairie	WI		53590			Dai	ne
Phone:		Fax:	<u> </u>	· · ·	pany Web Site:		
877.294.6436		608.960.406	9	ax	imgeo.c	com	
Remit to Address:	.	4005					
100 QBE Way,		ie 1225				-	
City:	State:		Zip Code:			County	
Sun Prairie	WI		53590)		Dai	ne
Phone:			Fax:	~~	4000		
877.294.6436			608.9				
Statewide Vendor Number (SWV) for	Remit	o Address:			nber or Social Secu 170	uity Nun	nber:
N/A			84-47				-
Unified Business Identifier Number (I	JBI):				ibering System (D)	UNS) Nu	mber:
604-883-139			10464				
			NAICS Code &				
2022 N/A			541370 - Surveying and Mapping (Except Geophysical) Service				sing (Except Geophysical) Services
Proposed Project Manager: Email:							
Ben Leonard			benjamin.leonard@aximgeo.com				aximgeo.com
Financial Contact:	Email:						
Chris Ringe				finance@aximgeo.com			

Firm Type:

Sole Proprietor Dartnership C - Corp. Limited Partnership Subchapter S Corp. Limited Liability Company

Annual Gross Receipt:

🗋 \$0 to \$1 Million 📋 \$1 Million to \$5 Million 🗋 \$5 Million to \$10 Million 📋 \$10 Million to \$15 Million 🔳 Over \$15 Million

Note:

Firm Name: Please <u>do not</u> use: dba's – doing business as; combination names when two firms are working together, unless the combination name is the formation of a legally registered new company such as a joint venture; derivatives of your legal name; acronyms; etc. The firm name shown must be your firm's legal name.

Federal Tax ID Number: Your Federal Tax ID number must be that number registered to your legal firm name. If you do not have a Federal Tax ID number, please use your social security number.

Unified Business Identifier (UBI) Number: Your firm will be REQUIRED to acquire a UBI Number prior to execution of an agreement and/or being approved as a Sub-consultant to an existing agreement. This is a Washington State Business license and can be acquired by contacting the Washington State Department of Revenue web site at www.dor.wa.gov.

Statewide Vendor (SWV) Number: The Statewide Vendor (SWV) Number is *REQUIRED* for vendors to receive payments. If your firm doesn't already have an SWV number, your firm will be required to acquire a SWV number prior to execution of an agreement. Please contact WSDOT TRAINS Help Desk at 360-705-7514 for assistance.

FYE Date: Your firm's fiscal year end date.

UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE Certification: If your firm is certified as a UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE enter your firm's certification number. Federal Certifications: Underutilized Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (UDBE), Small Business Enterprise (SBE). State Certifications: Minority, Small, Veteran, Women Business Enterprise. For additional information go to the Washington State Office of Minority & Women's Business Enterprises web site at www.omwhe.wa.gov.

It is critical that your firm name is your legal firm name and that it is the same name assigned to your Federal Tax ID number and is the same name utilized for your SWV number.



IDS Consultant Information Sheet:

Consultant Information Form

Firm Name:					FYE Date:		Number of Employees:	
IDS Infrastructure Data Solutions, Inc. December 31 22							• •	
Address:								
2 Research Drive	e, S	Suite 150E						
City:								
Regina	SK	Fax:	S4S 7		-	Ca	nada	
306-790-1415		ras.		Company Web Site: https://ids.consulting				
Same as above								
City:	State:		Zip Code:			County	t.	
Phone:			Fax:					
1.002.								
Statewide Vendor Number (SWV) for R	lemit t	o Address:			umber or Social Secu	uity Nur	nber:	
			98-11					
Unified Business Identifier Number (UB	BI):				umbering System (D)	UNS) Nu	imber:	
Year Firm Established:		UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE Certif	20388		NAICS Code &	Code Mr	ime:	
2012		None	Cation Numbe	•			information management computer systems	
Proposed Project Manager:		None	Email:			-		
Mahmoud Halfav	vv		mhalf	av	vy@ids.c	ons	ulting	
Financial Contact:			Email:				-	
Mahmoud Halfav	vy		mhalf	av	vy@ids.c	ons	sulting	
Firm Type:								
Sole Proprietor Partnersh	uip (C – Corp. 🗌 Limited Parts	nership 🔲 S	ubch	apter S Corp. 🗌 L	imited L	iability Company	
Annual Gross Receipt:								
🔲 \$0 to \$1 Million 🔳 \$1 Milli	ion to S	5 Million 🔲 \$5 Million to \$	10 Million [\$1	0 Million to \$15 Mill	ion 🗆	Over \$15 Million	
Note:								
Firm Name: Please <u>do not</u> use: dba's - formation of a legally registered new co								
firm's legal name. Federal Tax ID Number: Your Federa	ıl Tax	D number must be that number	registered to y	our le	egal firm name. If yo	ou do not	have a Federal Tax ID	
number, please use your social security:				DT N 5-	mbar miar to ano	ion of a-	arroumant and/as hains	
Unified Business Identifier (UBI) Number: Your firm will be REQUIRED to acquire a UBI Number prior to execution of an agreement and/or being approved as a Sub-consultant to an existing agreement. This is a Washington State Business license and can be acquired by contacting the Washington State Department of Revenue web site at www.dor.wa.gov.								
Statewide Vendor (SWV) Number: The Statewide Vendor (SWV) Number is <i>REQUIRED</i> for vendors to receive payments. If your firm doesn't already have an SWV number, your firm will be required to acquire a SWV number prior to execution of an agreement. Please contact WSDOT TRAINS Help Desk at 360-705-7514 for assistance.								
FYE Date: Your firm's fiscal year end	date.							
UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE Certification: If your firm is certified as a UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE enter your firm's certification number. Federal Certifications: Underutilized Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (UDBE), Small Business Enterprise (SBE). State Certifications: Minority, Small, Veteran, Women Business Enterprise. For additional information go to the Washington State Office of Minority & Women's Business Enterprises web site at www.omwbe.wa.gov.								
	It is critical that your firm name is your legal firm name and that it is the same name assigned to your Federal Tax ID number and is the same name utilized for your SWV number.							



LDES Consultant Information Sheet:

Consultant Information Form

Firm Name:					FYE Date:		Number of Employees:
Land Development Engineering & Surveying, Inc.					2004		13
Address:							
5160 Industrial Place, Suite 108							
City:	State:		Zip Code:			County:	
Ferndale	WA	98248				Whatcom	
Phone:	Fax:		Company Web Site:				
(360) 383-0620		(360) 383-0639		ww	www.ldesinc.com		
Remit to Address:							
Same as above							
City: State:		Zip Code:			County		1
Phone:			Fax:				
Statewide Vendor Number (SWV) for Remit to Address:			Federal Tax ID Number or Social Security Number:				
N/A			20-1621107				
Unified Business Identifier Number (UBI):			Date Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number:				
602 419 043	185486383						
Year Firm Established: UDBE/SBE/MSVWB		UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE Certif	rtification Number:: NAICS Code		NAICS Code &	& Code Name:	
2004 DBE: D5M		DBE: D5M0019910 & ME	019910 & MBE: M5M0019910		237310, 541330, 541370, 237210		
Proposed Project Manager:	Email:						
Yanni Gholam			yanni@ldesinc.com				
Financial Contact:			Email:				
Yanni Gholam			yanni@ldesinc.com				
Firm Type:							
🗌 Sole Proprietor 🔲 Partnership 🔳 C – Corp. 📄 Limited Partnership 📄 Subchapter S Corp. 📄 Limited Liability Company							

Annual Gross Receipt:

🗋 \$0 to \$1 Million 🔳 \$1 Million to \$5 Million 🗋 \$5 Million to \$10 Million 🗋 \$10 Million to \$15 Million 🗍 Over \$15 Million

Note:

Firm Name: Please <u>do not</u> use: dba's - doing business as; combination names when two firms are working together, unless the combination name is the formation of a legally registered new company such as a joint venture; derivatives of your legal name; acronyms; etc. The firm name shown must be your firm's legal name.

Federal Tax ID Number: Your Federal Tax ID number must be that number registered to your legal firm name. If you do not have a Federal Tax ID number, please use your social security number.

Unified Business Identifier (UBI) Number: Your firm will be REQUIRED to acquire a UBI Number prior to execution of an agreement and/or being approved as a Sub-consultant to an existing agreement. This is a Washington State Business license and can be acquired by contacting the Washington State Department of Revenue web site at www.dor.wa.gov.

Statewide Vendor (SWV) Number: The Statewide Vendor (SWV) Number is *REQUIRED* for vendors to receive payments. If your firm doesn't already have an SWV number, your firm will be required to acquire a SWV number prior to execution of an agreement. Please contact WSDOT TRAINS Help Desk at 360-705-7514 for assistance.

FYE Date: Your firm's fiscal year end date.

UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE Certification: If your firm is certified as a UDBE/SBE/MSVWBE enter your firm's certification number. Federal Certifications: Underutilized Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (UDBE), Small Business Enterprise (SBE). State Certifications: Minority, Small, Veteran, Women Business Enterprise. For additional information go to the Washington State Office of Minority & Women's Business Enterprises web site at www.omwbe.wa.gov.

It is critical that your firm name is your legal firm name and that it is the same name assigned to your Federal Tax ID number and is the same name utilized for your SWV number.