

Guide Specifications and Commentary for Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges

Second Edition, 2009



AASHTO Code



Mikele Winters, P.E.



SHIP & BARGE COLLISIONS WITH BRIDGES

General Overview

Western Bridge Engineers' Seminar

September 4-6,2013 Bellevue, WA

Accidents do happen ...

- It's Only A Matter of Time (Risk)
- Knott's Rule: If You Build It ...
 They Will Hit It
- 36 Major Collapses since 1960
 Due to Vessel Collisions
 - 18 Collapses in the U.S.
 - 340+ Fatalities
- 250+ Minor Vessel Collisions/Year

Major Bridge Collapses in the U.S.

Bridge Location	Year	Fatalities
Lake Ponchartrain, LA	1964	6
Chesapeake Bay Bridge, VA	1970	0
Sidney Lanier Bridge, GA	1972	10
Chesapeake Bay Bridge, VA	1972	0
Lake Ponchartrain Bridge, LA	1974	3
Pass Manchac Bridge, LA	1976	
Benjamin Harrison Bridge, VA	1977	0
Union Avenue Bridge, NJ	1977	0
Berwick Railroad Bridge, LA	1978	0
Sunshine Skyway Bridge, FL	1980	35
Hannibal Railroad Bridge, MI	1982	0
Lake Ponchartrain Bridge, LA	1984	0
Bonner Bridge, NC	1990	0
Judge Seeber Bridge, LA	1993	1
Bayou Canot RR Bridge, AL	1993	47
Queen Isabella Bridge, TX	2001	8
I-40 Bridge over Ark. River, OK	2002	13
Popps Ferry Bridge, MS	2009	0
Eggner Ferry Bridge, KY	2012	0

People <u>do</u> die … Really! If it happened to you … You wouldn't like it either







Oil spills from accidents <u>do</u> occur ... Really!





Barge Collision with Swing Bridge Fender System

Ship Collision with San Francisco – Oakland Bay Bridge Main Pier Fender System



 Modern Vessels Are Longer & Wider Than In The Past





 Many older "Long Span" bridges ... aren't long enough for today's larger vessels





 Many bridge openings are too narrow for today's larger/wider ships and barge tows





 Bridges are often located near congested marine terminal facilities





 Too many bridges over navigable waterways in some locations





 Frequency of ship & barge traffic has increased in many harbors and channels





- Vessels Get In Trouble Due to ... PILOT ERROR
- Some Causes ...
 - Inattentiveness
 - Drunkenness/Tiredness
 - Crew Misunderstandings
 - Poor Judgment
 - Violate Navigation Rules
 - Incorrect Evaluation of Wind/Current Conditions







- Vessels Get In Trouble Due to ... MECHANICAL FAILURE
- Some Causes ...
 - Engine Failure
 - Steering Failure
 - Other Mechanical & Electrical Equipment Failures







- Vessels Get In Trouble Due to ... ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS
- Some Causes ...
 - Poor Visibility (Rainstorm, Fog)
 - High Density of Ship & Barge Traffic
 - Strong Currents
 - Wind Squalls
 - Poor Navigation Aids
 - Awkward Channel Alignments







Tampa Bay, FL (1980)





Tjörn Bridge Almo Sound, Sweden (1980)



Ulyanovsk Railway Bridge

Volga River, Russia (1983)





177 Fatalities when top deck of cruise ship was "decapitated"



Tasman Bridge Derwent River, Hobart, Australia (1975)



24 T

Benjamin Harrison Bridge

James River, Hopewell, VA (1977)





Sidney Lanier Bridge

Brunswick, GA (1983)





Bonner Bridge Oregon Inlet, NC (1990)



Claiborne Ave. Bridge

New Orleans, LA (1993)





Bayou Canot RR Bridge

Mobile, AL (1993)



Million Dollar Bridge

Portland, Maine (1996)

- Tanker Ship Accident (LOA=560', Width=85')
- Double Leaf Bascule Bridge (Horizontal Navigation Clearance = 95')
- 170,000 Gallons of Fuel Oil Spilled









Queen Isabella Bridge South Padre Island, TX (2001)







94 T

I-40 Bridge over Arkansas River Webber Falls, OK (2002)



Popps Ferry Bridge Biloxi, Miss (March 2009)



"There's 35,000 cars a day that goes across this bridge," A.J. Holloway said. "How there wasn't a car on there, or a vehicle, or a school bus at that time (7:20 AM), on that span, is just amazing to me."



Eggner Ferry Bridge Marshall, KY (January 2012)





Movable Bridges

Get hit fairly often
 ... (like magnets
 to vessels)





Mast Collisions

Occur frequently – but are usually not catastrophic







Legal Things You Should Know ...

- Bridges Are <u>Obstructions</u> to Marine Navigation
- Mariners Have the Right of Way ... <u>Not Motorists</u>
- Bridges Must Provide for the <u>Reasonable</u> Needs of Navigation
- Bridges are <u>Permitted</u> to be Built as Obstructions to Navigation by the USCG
- Bridges <u>Must</u> be Maintained in Accordance with USCG Permits







Collision Code Purpose

- "In navigable waterway areas, where vessel collision by merchant ships and barges may be anticipated, bridge structures shall be designed to <u>prevent collapse of the superstructure</u> by considering the size and type of the vessel, available water depth, vessel speed, and structure response."
 - Significant damage, even failure, of secondary members is permitted as long as redundant load paths exist and the superstructure does not collapse
- "Bridges over a navigable waterway meeting the guide specification criteria, <u>whether existing or under design</u>, should be evaluated as to its vulnerability to vessel collision in order to determine prudent measures to be taken for its protection."



Pensacola Bay Bridge

Florida (1989)



Bridge Superstructure Survived Due To Structural Redundancy



Ship Collision Force on Pier

 Woisin's large-scale dynamic model tests





Ship Collision Force on Pier

Typical impact data from Woisin



Average Impact Force vs. Time (t)



Average Impact Force vs. Bow Crushing Length (a)

$$\overline{P}(t) = (1.25)\overline{P}(a)$$

Ship Collision Force on Pier

 Guide Commentary contains discussion of differences in ship collision forces between AASHTO, German, Asian, and Danish research



Comparison of ship impact forces for 50,000 DWT Bulk Carrier (Tongji Univ., Shanghai, China)

Barge Collision Force on Pier

- Full scale barge impact testing by Florida DOT used by the University of Florida (2006) to develop and calibrate a FEM barge collision numerical model
 - St. George Bridge across Apalachicola Bay





Examples of vessel bow overhang impact







Sunshine Skyway Bridge Collapse



Example of ship bow overhang



Container Pier Accident



Local Bow Crushing During Impact



Local Bow Crushing During Impact



Container Pier Accident



Bridge Analysis Options

- AASHTO provides three alternative analysis methods for determining the design vessel for each bridge component in the structure in accordance with a two-tiered risk acceptance criteria.
 - Method I is a simple to use semi-deterministic procedure
 - Limited to Barges in Shallow Draft Waterways
 - Method II is a detailed risk analysis procedure
 - Required for Ships in Deep Draft Waterways
 - Method III is a cost-effectiveness of risk reduction procedure
 - Based on a classical benefit/cost analysis
- Risk Acceptance Criteria
 - Critical Bridges: Risk of Collapse 1 in 10,000 years
 - Typical Bridges: Risk of Collapse 1 in 1,000 years



Overview of Risk Analysis Procedure





Annual Frequency of Collapse

The annual frequency of bridge element collapse shall be computed by:

AF = (N)(PA)(PG)(PC)(PF)

– where,

- AF = Annual frequency of bridge element collapse due to vessel collision
- N = Annual number of vessels classified by type, size, and loading condition which can strike the bridge element
- PA = Probability of vessel aberrancy
- PG = Geometric probability of a collision between an aberrant vessel and a bridge pier or span
- PC = Probability of bridge collapse due to a collision with an aberrant vessel
- PF = Adjustment factor to account for potential protection of the piers from vessel collision due to upstream or down stream land masses, or other structures, that block the vessel from hitting the pier.



Annual Frequency of Collapse

- Relatively straightforward analysis using programs like Excel or MathCAD to automate the repetitive analysis process – Setup for Each Specific Project
- Total bridge AF is the sum of the individual component AF's (piers exposed to collision)

	Downbound Vessels									
Ŏ	Upbound Vessels									
	Vessel Type	N	PA	PG	PC	PF	Growth Factor	AF		
$\left(\right)$										
	Total AF All Vessels								\sim	
Pier 1										

+ (PLUS)



Pier 3 + Pier 4 + Pier 5 ... etc. = Sum of All Pier AF's

Annual Frequency of Collapse

- Once the input data has been developed and the risk analysis tables have been generated, the program can be used several ways:
 - If the ultimate resistance strength of the piers has been computed (ex. an existing bridge), you can solve for AF and determine if it meets the risk acceptance criteria
 - You can back-solve the ultimate pier strength needed for each pier (ex. a new bridge) by setting the AF for each pier to the risk acceptance criteria
 - The strength of setting up the analysis in this manner is the ability to ask "what if ..." questions
 - Different pier locations, span lengths, etc.

Bridge Protection Alternatives

AASHTO Code

- Substructure Provisions
- Concrete & Steel Design
- Physical Protection Systems
 - Fenders
 - Pile supported systems
 - Dolphins
 - Islands
 - Floating structures
- Movable Bridges
- Motorist Warning Systems
- Aids-to-Navigation



Guide Specifications and Commentary for Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges

Second Edition, 2009



Bridge Protection Examples

- Design Bridge for Full Impact Force
- Fender Systems
- Pile-Supported Structures
- Protection Islands



Newport Bridge Crossing Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island (1981)

- 31,800 DWT tanker hits main tower of suspension bridge at approximately 6 knots
- Minor damage to bridge pier
 - Vessel bow crushed in 11 feet







Luling Bridge New Orleans, Louisiana

Piers designed to withstand vessel impact forces (50,000 kips)





Throgs Neck Bridge Crossing the East River, New York City

Steel Framed Fender System









San Francisco / Oakland Bay Bridge

Fender / Footing Accident (2007)



Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges

East Bay Bridge San Francisco – Oakland, California

Concrete fenders used around perimeter of piers
 Piers also designed to withstand barge impact forces





Tappan Zee Bridge over Hudson River

Tarrytown, New York





Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges

Rosario - Victoria Bridge

Crossing the Paraná River, Argentina

· Pile Supported Structure System









Orinoco River Bridge

Venezuela

Pile Supported Structure System





Tampa Bay, Florida





Tampa Bay, Florida





94 T

Dames Point Bridge

Jacksonville, Florida





Tampa Bay, Florida



Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges

99 T T

Tampa Bay, Florida



Figure 4: Section thru Main Pier Island.



Tampa Bay, Florida





Tampa Bay, Florida





Houston Ship Channel Bridge

Baytown, Texas



Dames Point Bridge

Jacksonville, Florida





Arthur Ravenel Jr. Bridge

Crossing the Cooper River, Charleston, SC









Sidney Lanier Bridge Crossing the Brunswick River, Brunswick, GA







Orwell River Bridge

Ipswich, Suffolk, England





Vessel Collision Summary

- Let's Learn From The Past
- Bridges Over Navigable Waterways Need:
 - Better Planning
 - Longer Spans
 - Stronger Piers
 - Better Protection
 - Better ATN



- Vessel Collision Requirements are now Mandatory under LRFD Bridge Design Code
- Engineering Judgment and Specialized Skills are Required to Design Pier Protection Systems
 - Plastic / Non-Elastic / Sacrificial Structures

Final Thoughts

- Extreme Events will Usually Control the Design of Most Major Bridges
 - Vessel Collision
 - Earthquakes
 - Storm Surge & Waves
 (Post Hurricane Katrina / Sandy Damage)
 - Scour from Extreme Flood Events
- Terrorist Attacks (Post 9/11 World)
 - Hijacked Vessel Used as a Weapon Against Landmark Bridges & Critical Transportation Links
 - Confidential Studies Conducted by DOT's
- Design of New Bridges for One Extreme Event Usually Improves the Performance Response to Other Extreme Events





THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING!



Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges



Western Bridge Engineers' Seminar September 4-6,2013 Bellevue, WA