#### WESTERN BRIDGE ENGINEERS SEMINAR

# SERVICE LIFE OF BRIDGE DECK REPAIRS IN ALBERTA



1

Paul Carter, P.Eng., PE, FACI paul.carter@ch2m.com

Clarence Wong, P.Eng. clarence.wong@gov.ab.ca

Dave Besuyen, P.Eng. dave.besuyen@gov.ab.ca



# Overview

- Introduction to Deck Deterioration
- Alberta Deck Rehabilitation History
- Service Life
- Data Used in Study
- Results of Data Analysis
- Conclusion and Comments



#### Bridge Deck Deterioration in Alberta

- Deck deterioration rates depend on:
  - Geographic location,
  - Traffic volumes,
  - Drainage (deck grade and crown),
  - Roadway de-icing policies and practice
  - Quality of designed deck protection systems

1960 Asphalt Covered Deck





Wearing Surface Provided Little Protection



#### Bridge Deck Deterioration in Alberta

- Alberta Geographic conditions vary substantially
  - Snow/de-icing for up to 6 months
  - Mountain areas have ~ 140 annual free-thaw cycles
  - Heavy industrial truck routes
  - Urban areas have highest traffic volumes and greatest need for aggressive de-icing chemicals
  - Annual days w/o frost
    - less than 85 in Rockies
    - 85 95 in foothills
    - 95 105 far north



4

# History of Concrete Bridge Deck Overlays on Alberta Highways

#### First Alberta Steel Fibre Overlays were placed in 1984

Overlay Type	Years Placed	Approx Total
Deep Conventionally Reinforced (COL)	1973 - present	80
Iowa 'High Density' (HDOL)	1977 - 1985	150
Class D w/Steel Fibre	1984 - 1986	10
HPC-Silica Fume, Steel Fibre (FRSF)	1987 - present	150
HPC-Pyrament, Steel Fibre (FRPOL)	1989 - 1991	20
HPC-Silica Fume (SFOL)	1994 - present	30
HPC-Silica Fume, Fly Ash	2000 - present	10
	Total	450



#### Bridge Deck Overlay Stage 1: Remove Existing Wearing Surface





# Bridge Deck Overlay Stage 2: Prepare Surface (for Typical 2-3 Inch Overlay)





### Bridge Deck Overlay Stage 3: Set Screed Rails - Conventionally Reinf Deep Overlay





#### Overlay Stage 4: Place Bond Slurry





#### Overlay Stage 5: Place Concrete Mix





#### Overlay Stage 6: 7 Day Wet Curing for HPC Overlays





## Overlay Issues: Prevent Cracks - overlays often placed at night





# Service Life of Deck Repairs

- Definition: Service life of deck repairs = (The year of overlay, deck, or bridge replacement) (The year of installation).
- Life is usually governed by safety issues.
- Failure mechanism typically, pot'holes' result from impact disintegration, following crack propagation from corrosion (delamination) and overlay debonding.
- Many bridges carry traffic at speeds of 65 to 80 mph, and potholes threaten public safety.



### Can We Measure Service Life from Non-Failed Repairs by using probability?



# DATA OVERVIEW

Description	Sub-category	Number of Sites	Ave Age @ Repair
All bridges	All with data	with data 785	
Replaced Deck or Bridge	No protection	84	35.0
1 <sup>st</sup> Time Deck Repair	All with data	448	23.6
	Concrete Overlay	303	
	Surface Membrane	56	
	Membrane and Asphalt	89	
2 <sup>nd</sup> Time Deck Repair	All with data	100	30.4
	Surface Membrane	83	
	HPC Concrete Overlay	17	
New Decks since 1978	Constructed w/Protection System	148	
	Membrane/Asphalt	42	
	High Density Overlay	106	

## DATA - First Time Deck Repairs

- Existing Deck Repair Sites (448 of 785 57%)
- Concrete Overlays (303 of 448; 68%)
  - high performance steel fiber reinforcing (153), high density (93), high performance no fibre(29), Pyrament w steel fiber (7), steel reinforced (12), Class C (5), latex modified (2), impressed cathodic protection (2)
- Surface Membranes (56 of 448; 13%)
  - thin broom and seed epoxy overlays (53), polymer modified asphalt (PMA - 8), latex modified asphalt (4), screeded methyl-methacrylate overlays (3)
- Membranes and asphalt (90 of 448; 20%)
  - multi-layer polymer (76), hot applied rubberized (2) single layer polymer (1)



# Conclusion: Cannot determine service life of most types, when so few repairs have failed

Type Repair	Number of Repairs	% Failed	Ave Age of Failure	Avg Age of Repairs Remaining in Service
FRSF	171	4%	11.7	12.4
EPOL	129	11%	15.4	15.9
HDOL	93	9%	21.5	25.3
MPM	74	19%	146	18.0
SFOL	29	0%	n/a	11.5
RCOL	13	0%	n/a	11.8
РМА	10	0%	n/a	11.1
FRPOL	8	0%	n/a	16.6
MMOL	6	0%	n/a	11.7
COL	5	40%	20.0	20.0
LMA	4	50%	19.0	21.0
LMOL	3	0%	n/a	21.0
Cathodic Protection	2	0%	n/a	17.0
HRMA	2	0%	n/a	3.5
1PM	1	0%	n/a	20.0

### Repair Performance Summary (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 3<sup>rd</sup> time repairs)

Type Repair	Total	% Failed	Ave Age of Failure (years)	Avg Age of Repairs Remaining in Service (years)
Concrete Overlay	322	5%	6.9	16.1
Surface Membrane	135	10%	15.4	15.7
Membrane/ asphalt	91	18%	11.5	16.8



#### Service Life of Wearing Surfaces

#### **Number of Times Wearing Surface Replaced**



# Data Analysis - Results: Avg 2007 Age of New HDOL's = 26.1 years

**Performance of Decks Constructed with HDOL** 



# Expert Opinion Survey on Rehab Life (10 Provincial Experts – Life in Typical Conditions)

#### **Estimated Service Life**



#### Long-Term Monitoring of Alberta Bridge Deck Corrosion Potentials (ave 100 decks/year since 1977)

#### Average Deck Corrosion Potential (CSE - mV) by Year



#### Long-Term Monitoring of Alberta Bridge Curb Top Corrosion Potentials (since 1977)

#### Average Curb Corrosion Potential (CSE - mV) by Year



#### Conclusions and Comments: Concrete Overlays

- When HDOLs were started in 1977, it was hoped they would achieve a 20 year extension of the overlay/deck service life.
- HDOL repairs were done to 1950-60's bridges. Only 9 of 93 (10%) HDOL repairs have failed. Data suggests HDOL repairs will typically yield 30+ years service life.
- Overlays perform better when done at the right time, i.e. when the deck is newer/ better condition.
- Today's HPC overlays have less cracks and lower permeability to chloride ions than HDOLs.
- Although the exposure conditions have increased, we expect typical 35+ year service life extensions for HPC overlays placed at the right time.



#### Conclusions and Comments: Membrane and Asphalt Repairs

- In the evolution of repair methods, the polymer membrane was an early prototype.
- Membranes provide better crack protection than concrete overlays, but when used for repair, they often trap chlorides and cover up on-going corrosion
- Membranes work best when applied to new decks or decks with very low chloride contents
- Polymer modified asphalt (PMA) has proved to be an efficient repair method when done to appropriate decks
- Hot rubberized membranes have been effective in protecting new decks, but have not been used much for repairs.



#### Conclusions: Future Repairs Repair of Bridges Constructed since 1978

- 1978 1985 bridges constructed with high density overlays (HDOLs) will likely be replaced with HPC overlays.
- Maintenance of newer decks constructed with membranes will be faster and less expensive than older bridges.
- 1985 present decks constructed with hot rubberized membranes and asphalt (HRMA) will likely be maintained by replacing asphalt and membranes.
- Some bridges constructed in the City of Calgary with polymer modified asphalt (PMA) will likely be maintained by replacing the PMA.



#### Acknowledgements

 Alberta Infrastructure and Transportation for permission to use testing and inspection data

 Clarence Wong and Dave Besuyen for assistance in data analysis and review



# **THANK YOU**

### **For Your Attention**



#### Paul Carter, P.Eng., PE, FACI paul.carter@ch2m.com

